

AN ABSTRACT OF THE THESIS OF

J. Andrew Alexander for the degree of Master of Science in Botany and Plant Pathology presented on May 14, 2001.

Title: Genetic Diversity of Populations of *Astragalus oniciformis* using Inter-Simple Sequence Repeat (ISSR) Markers.

Abstract Approved:

Aaron I. Liston

Astragalus oniciformis Barneby is a xerophyte of the sagebrush deserts of central Idaho. It is a narrow endemic of the upper Snake River Plains where it inhabits stabilized, aeolian sand deposits over Quaternary basalt flows. The objective of this study was to determine the levels and distribution of genetic differentiation within and among populations of *Astragalus oniciformis*. Fifteen individuals from each of eight populations, chosen from throughout the range of the species, were selected for their accessibility, density of individuals, and large population size. Two disjunct eastern populations selected for this study have been separated from the continuous western populations for 3600 years by an eight-mile wide, inhospitable lava flow. Inter-simple sequence repeats (ISSR) were chosen as the marker to assess genetic differentiation. Two primers were selected that yielded 40 loci, all of which were polymorphic in *A. oniciformis*. In an analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA), 88.69 percent of the variation was significantly attributed to variation within populations. The differentiation between the two disjunct populations and the western populations was insignificant. High gene flow ($Nm = 3.91-3.93$) and a low percent deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium due to population subdivision ($G_{st} = 0.113-0.1134$) were found among populations of *A. oniciformis*. These results suggest that current threats to this species, ranging from plant community changes due to changing fire patterns, habitat alteration from livestock

grazing, and habitat loss from agricultural development have not yet affected the genetic diversity of this species. Preservation of the numerous, large populations and the high gene flow will help insure that the levels of genetic diversity found in *Astragalus oniciformis* will not decrease.

Genetic Diversity of Populations of *Astragalus oniciformis* using Inter-Simple
Sequence Repeat (ISSR) Markers

by
J. Andrew Alexander

A THESIS
submitted to
Oregon State University

in partial fulfillment of
the requirement for the
degree of

Master of Science

Presented May 14, 2001
Commencement June 2002

Master of Science thesis of J. Andrew Alexander presented on May 14, 2001.

APPROVED

Major Professor, representing Botany and Plant Pathology

Chair of Department of Botany and Plant Pathology

Dean of Graduate School

I understand that my thesis will become part of the permanent collection of Oregon State University libraries. My signature below authorizes release of my thesis to any reader upon request.

J. Andrew Alexander, Author

Acknowledgments

The author thanks the USGS Biological Resources Division and the Moldenke Travel Fund for funding this project and my committee members, Aaron Liston, David Pyke, and Robert Meinke for their valuable advice and guidance during this project. Assistance during the field survey was provided by the Shoshone District Office of the Bureau of Land Management.

The author also thanks Steve Popovich, who selected the populations of *Astragalus oniciformis* that were sampled, lead the field trip to collect the samples, and sampled additional populations not visited on the original field trip. He also provided the population survey data used as background information for this study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	1
MATERIALS AND METHODS	8
RESULTS	21
DISCUSSION	53
LITERATURE CITED	62
APPENDICES	67

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Population descriptions of all sampled populations of <i>A. oniciformis</i> .	9
2	Population description of the sampled population of <i>A. mulfordae</i> from Malhuer County, Oregon.	14
3	Primers yielding single bands in <i>A. oniciformis</i> .	22
4	Primers yielding multiple bands in <i>A. oniciformis</i> .	23
5	Primers used for ISSR analyses of <i>A. oniciformis</i> and <i>A. mulfordae</i> .	24
6	Genetic diversity measures for all sampled populations of <i>A. oniciformis</i> .	25
7	Results of single population genetic analysis of loci from sampled populations of <i>A. oniciformis</i> with ISSR primers 818 and 841.	27
8	Results of single population genetic analysis of loci from sampled populations of <i>A. oniciformis</i> with ISSR primer 818.	30
9	Results of single population genetic analysis of loci from sampled populations of <i>A. oniciformis</i> with ISSR primers 841.	32

LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
10	AMOVA pairwise distance results from loci sampled from populations of <i>A. oniciformis</i> using ISSR primers 818 and 841.	38
11	AMOVA pairwise distance results from loci sampled from populations of <i>A. oniciformis</i> using ISSR primer 818.	39
12	AMOVA pairwise distance results from loci sampled from populations of <i>A. oniciformis</i> using ISSR primer 841.	40
13	AMOVA pairwise distance results from loci using ISSR primers 818 and 841.	42
14	Unbiased genetic identity and geographic distance.	43
15	Unbiased genetic distance and geographic distance.	44
16	Genetic distance and geographic distance.	46
17	Single population linkage disequilibria.	48
18	Ohta's two locus analysis of population subdivision.	49
19	Mean population F_{st} and populations sizes from studies of <i>Astragalus</i> species.	58

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Distribution map of <i>A. oniciformis</i> .	10
2	Geologic map showing populations of <i>A. oniciformis</i> .	12
3	Photo of ISSR gel showing bands from DS population using UBC-818.	17
4	Photo of ISSR gel showing bands from mixed populations using UBC-818.	18
5	Results of single population genetic analysis of loci from sampled populations of <i>A. oniciformis</i> with ISSR primers 818 and 841.	28
6	Results of single population genetic analysis of loci from sampled populations of <i>A. oniciformis</i> with ISSR primer 818.	31
7	Results of single population genetic analysis of loci from sampled populations of <i>A. oniciformis</i> with ISSR primer 841.	33
8	Unbiased genetic identity UPGMA dendrogram.	34
9	Unbiased genetic distance UPGMA dendrogram.	36

LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
10	Pairwise F_{st} UPGMA dendrogram.	37
11	Scatterplot of geographic distance versus unbiased genetic distance.	45
12	Scatterplot of geographic distance versus pairwise F_{st} .	47
13	Strict consensus of 86,959 most parsimonious trees of length 394.	51

LIST OF APPENDICES

<u>Appendix</u>		<u>Page</u>
A	Table of raw data for <i>A. oniciformis</i> and <i>A. mulfordae</i> .	68
B	Allele frequencies and genetic diversity measures for <i>A. oniciformis</i> and <i>A. mulfordae</i> .	77

Genetic Diversity of Populations of *Astragalus oniciformis* using Inter-Simple Sequence Repeat (ISSR) Markers

INTRODUCTION

Astragalus oniciformis Barneby (Fabaceae) was first collected by Ripley and Barneby in 1947 in the foothills of the Sawtooth Range on the eastern edge of the town of Picabo, Idaho (Barneby, 1964). For thirty years, this single site remained the only known occurrence of this species. In the seventies and later in the early eighties, Shoshone District Bureau of Land Management (BLM) employees completed surveys that identified few additional populations. The first comprehensive survey was conducted in 1984 by Packard and Smithman (Moseley and Popovich, 1995). Populations of *A. oniciformis* were reinvestigated in 1994 and 1995 by Moseley and Popovich. Their report, *The Conservation Status of Picabo Milkvetch (Astragalus oniciformis Barneby)*, remains the most comprehensive inventory and natural history study of this species. Thirty-six populations of *A. oniciformis* have now been recorded. Population sizes range from 10 to greater than 10,000 individuals. Twenty-nine of the thirty-six populations from previous studies were located and studied by Moseley and Popovich. The seven remaining populations have not been relocated since 1984 and are considered historical populations. None of these historical populations are known to be extirpated (Moseley and Popovich, 1995).

The populations of *A. oniciformis* are spread throughout Lincoln, northern Minidoka, and southern Blaine Counties, Idaho. However, in the eastern portion of its range, several populations are separated from the central populations by the Minidoka Flow, an inhospitable, eight mile wide, basaltic lava flow. This flow has been dated at 3600 years and is too young for erosional or depositional processes to form suitable habitat for *A. oniciformis*. In the western portion of its range, two populations, located 5.8 to 6 miles west of Shoshone, are historical and their current status is unknown (Moseley and Popovich, 1995).

Astragalus oniciformis is a prostrate, caulescent perennial herb that establishes in disturbed sandy areas or sandy, aeolian pockets on basaltic lava flows (Barneby, 1964). Throughout its range it occurs with *Artemisia tridentata* Nutt. var. *wyomingensis* (Beetle & Young) Welsh and *Hesperostipa comata* (Trin. & Rupr.) Barkworth. It is frequently found within open grassy areas of previously burned patches among *Artemisia* scrubland, rather than in the understory of late seral *Artemisia* stands. *Astragalus oniciformis* prefers stabilized sandy pockets and open areas and has never been found in unstabilized sand dune environments (Moseley and Popovich, 1995). The sandy soils that *A. oniciformis* inhabits overlie an extensive series of basaltic lava flows that have erupted in this region over the past 750,000 years. In the eastern portion of its range, *A. oniciformis* populations are found in aeolian

deposits on and surrounded by basalt flows ranging in age from 3600 to 12000 years. These flows originated in the Crater of the Moon Lava Field (Moseley and Popovich, 1995).

In his monograph of the genus *Astragalus*, Barneby (1964) placed *A. oniciformis* in Section *Miselli*, a group of xerophytic taxa native to the western United States and montane regions of central Mexico. *Astragalus oniciformis* was placed in this section, in part, based on the presence of free stipules (Barneby, 1964), a feature that Barneby frequently used to segregate species of *Astragalus*.

Astragalus mulfordae Jones was also sampled in this study. Closely resembling *A. oniciformis*, *A. mulfordae* has fused stipules. Based on this feature, Barneby placed it into Section *Neonix*. *Astragalus mulfordae* is distributed from southeastern Oregon to southwestern Idaho and is not sympatric with *A. oniciformis*, although it is closely related (Barneby, 1964). *Astragalus mulfordae* was included in this study as an outgroup and to determine the utility of the ISSR process among species in *Astragalus*.

Inter-simple sequence repeat (ISSR) markers have recently become widely used in population studies because they have been found to be highly variable, to require less investment in time, money and labor than other methods (Wolfe and Liston, 1998), and to have the ability to be inherited. (Gupta et al., 1994; Tsumura et al., 1996). Random amplified

polymorphic DNA (RAPD) markers, are a related and more widely employed, genetic method. Expression as dominant markers, homology problems related to bands of equal length, weak bands remaining unobserved due to artifacts of DNA visualization methods, and minor deviations in experimental protocols yielding different results are a few of the limitations shared by these two molecular methods (Wolfe and Liston, 1998). ISSRs, however, are more robust than RAPDs due to using longer, anchored primer sequences (Wolfe and Liston, 1998).

Typically ISSRs have been used in studies using cultivated species for producing of genetic linkage maps and determining the relatedness of lines of agriculturally important species. In producing genetic linkage maps, ISSRs have limited application. Nine of forty-nine ISSR fragments produced by 33 primers were successfully mapped on a restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) linkage map of wheat. These fragments were shown to be distributed over five different chromosomes (Kojima et al., 1998). ISSRs have been more widely used in determining the relatedness and variability of lines of cultivars or finding the most closely related native plant species. For example, in *Sorghum bicolor* ssp. *bicolor* (Poaceae), 81 lines representing all five races were analyzed using RFLP, RAPD and ISSR primers. Four ISSR primers produced 49 markers with a range of eight to nineteen polymorphic bands. ISSR markers alone were

able to distinguish all 81 lines (de Oliveira et al., 1996).

In the cultivated species, *Pandorea pandoreana* and *Pandorea jasminoides* (Bignoniaceae), ISSRs were used to determine relationships of 13 cultivars. Six ISSR primers yielded 112 polymorphic bands from a total of 118. These data combined with 395 bands from a RAPD analysis were used to produce a genetic distance dendrogram of the 13 cultivars. The cultivars were separable into two groups (genetic distance = 0.7) that represented cultivars of *P. jasminoides*, a species endemic to eastern Australia, and *P. pandoreana*, a species that ranges from northeastern Australia to New Guinea (Jain et al., 1999).

ISSRs have also been used to determine the genetic diversity and origin of the last surviving individuals of *Sophora toromiro* (Fabaceae), a tree endemic to Rapa Nui (Easter Island) that has been extinct in the wild since 1960. Forty-three samples of *S. toromiro* and eleven samples of other related species of *Sophora* were taken from live trees in botanical gardens and private collections from throughout the world. Three ISSR primers produced 24 bands. Fifteen of those bands were present in *S. toromiro*. Twenty-one bands were present in the other *Sophora* species, of which 12 were also present in *S. toromiro*. In general ISSR markers in this species showed a lower level of resolution than RAPD data. Two trees thought to be *S. toromiro* were

conclusively identified as *S. microphylla* with these molecular data, eliminating these two trees as possible sources for seed for reintroduction purposes (Maunder et al., 1999).

In other studies, ISSRs have been successful in distinguishing between subspecies of *Plantago major* (Plantaginaceae), a cosmopolitan species (Wolff and Morgan-Richards, 1998), in determining the closest native species related to the hexaploid *Ipomoea batatas* (Convolvulaceae; sweet potato; Huang and Sun, 2000), and in determining the levels of genetic variation between sympatric species of *Alnus* (Betulaceae) in Italy (King and Ferris, 2000).

ISSRs have also been instrumental in determining variability and correcting misidentifications in large germplasm collections (Fang et al., 1997; Gilbert et al., 1999; Lanham and Brennan, 1999; Charters and Wilkinson, 2000).

Several population-level studies have also used ISSR markers. In an octoploid clonal species native to Ohio, *Calamagrostis porteri* ssp. *insperata* (Poaceae), three ISSR primers produced 67 bands. Polymorphic loci ranged from 10.4% to 20.7%. Similarity among populations ranged from 0.712 to 0.757 (Esselman et al., 1999). In contrast, an analysis of *Saxifraga rivularis* (Saxifragaceae) from Great Britain yielded few polymorphic bands. Four

primers were used and only one was polymorphic. An additional band was represented only in collections from Iceland (Hollingsworth et al., 1998).

Differences in levels of polymorphism can exist between ISSR and allozyme data (Esselman et al., 1999), between ISSR data and cpDNA restriction site analyses (King and Ferris, 2000), between ISSR and RAPD data (Jain et al., 1999), and between AFLP and ISSR data (Arcade et al., 2000).

These can result in different estimates of diversity within and among populations and species. ISSRs can generate higher percentages of polymorphic loci than other methods (Esselman et al., 1999). In comparative studies, ISSRs have been shown to be as reliable and be as genetically informative as RFLP analyses (Nagaoka and Ogihara, 1997; Huang and Sun, 2000).

Based on the low cost, the ease of experimental replicability, and the robust nature of the method compared to others, ISSR markers were selected to determine the levels and distribution of genetic differentiation among populations of *Astragalus oniciformis*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS


From the 36 known populations of *A. oniciformis*, populations from throughout the range of the species were selected for sampling. Eight populations were sampled for a total of four population pairs (Figure 1 and Figure 2; Table 1). Population inventory data were based that found in *The Conservation Status of Picabo Milkvetch (Astragalus oniciformis Barneby)*. The population pairs ranged from 5 to 16 km apart. An initial collecting survey (J. Alexander, A. Liston, S. Popovich) was conducted in the late spring of 1999. From the northern portion of the range, populations from Ditch Spring (DS) and Silver Creek (SC) were sampled. From the central portion of the range, a population near Lower Thumb Reservoir (LTR) and a population within the Wilderness Study Area III exclosure near Squaw Butte (SB) were sampled. A population (SD) from the southern portion of the range, located in sand dunes 7.5 miles east of the town of Dietrich, was sampled. Steve Popovich collected the remaining samples: a population located southwest of Crater Butte (CBS), and the rift populations, Great Rift #2 (GR2) and Mule Butte (MB) (Moseley and Popovich, 1995).

A population of *Astragalus mulfordae* in Malheur County, Oregon was also sampled during the original survey (Table 2).

Table 1. Population descriptions of all sampled populations of *Astragalus oniciformis*. All populations are in Idaho. Population numbers, site names, locations, population sizes, and elevation estimates were taken from inventory data in Moseley and Popovich (1995). Coordinate data were either converted from TRS coordinates listed in Moseley and Popovich (1995) or recorded in the field with a commercial GPS unit (*).

Pop.	No.	Survey Site Name	Location	Lat.	Long.	Pop. Size	Min. Elev. (ft)
CBS	35	Crater Butte	2.5 airmiles NW of Dietrich, south of the Little Wood River	42.9446	-114.3182	200	4,035
DS	36	Ditch Spring	at base of south slopes of Picabo Hills, ca 1 mile WSW of Ditch Spring	43.2472*	-114.1197*	100 +	4,760
GR2	33	Great Rift #2	3.4 airmiles NNE of Mule Butte	43.1156	-113.3318	1,100	4,780
LTR	13	Lower Thumb Reservoir	vicinity of Lower Thumb Reservoir, near The Pinch	43.1208*	-113.6465*	10,000 +	4,460
MB	24	Mule Butte	vicinity of Mule Butte	43.0721	-113.3514	1000-10,000	4,810
SB	15	Squaw Butte	vicinity of Squaw Butte, within and outside of WSA III enclosure	43.1426*	-113.7371*	10,000 +	4,480
SC	2	Silver Creek	E of Silver Creek, SE of Picabo	43.2789	-114.0206	300	4,700
SD	31	Sand Dunes	sand dunes 7.5 miles E of Dietrich, near ID Route 24	42.888*	-114.1329*	?	4,170

Figure 1. Distribution Map of *Astragalus oniciformis*.




Legend for Figure 1

Populations

- Aspitarte Lake
 - Bacon Lake
 - Bear Den Butte
 - Bear Den Lake
 - Bear Den Lake Southeast
 - Black Ridge Crater
 - Black Ridge Crater North
 - Brown Butte
 - Bullshot Reservoir
 - Crater Butte
 - Crater Butte Southwest (CBS)
 - Cream Can Lake
 - Ditch Spring (DS)
 - Great Rift #1
 - Great Rift #2
 - Great Rift #2 (GR2)
 - Indian Well
 - Little Wood River
 - Lower Thumb Reservoir
 - Lower Thumb Reservoir (LTR)
 - Monument Butte
 - Mud Flat Lake Northwest
 - Mule Butte
 - Mule Butte (MB)
 - Mule Butte Northeast
 - Paddleford Flat
 - Picabo
 - Sand Dunes (SD)
 - Silver Creek
 - Silver Creek (SC)
 - Squaw Butte
 - Squaw Butte (SB)
 - Student Lake
 - The Blow Out
 - The Blow Out Southwest
 - The Blow Out West
 - Tunupa
 - Tunupa South
 - Twin Lakes
 - Wagon Butte
 - Way East of Richfield
 - Cities
- County Boundary

Figure 2. Geologic Map of the Picabo and Shoshone area.



Legend for Figure 2

- Populations
- Cities

 County Boundary

Geology

	alluvium
	calc-alkaline intrusive
	carbonate
	dune sand
	felsic pyroclastic
	felsic volcanic flow
	glacial drift
	granite
	granitic gneiss
	interlayered meta-sedimentary
	lake sediment and playa
	loess
	mafic gneiss
	mafic volcanic flow
	mixed carbonate and shale
	mixed eugeosynclinal
	mixed miogeosynclinal
	open water
	sandstone
	siltstone

Table 2. Population description of the sampled population of *Astragalus mulfordae* from Malheur County, Oregon. Coordinate data was recorded in the field with a commercial GPS unit.

Abbrev.	Location	Lat.	Long.	Pop. Size
SHS	0.9 miles south of Snively Hot Springs picnic area	43.7189	-117.1923	~1000

Fifteen individuals from each population, for a total of 135 samples, were used for ISSR analyses. Between 20 and 80 mg of leaf material was collected from each individual. Leaf samples were air dried and then stored at -20°C until the DNA was extracted. Genomic DNA was extracted and purified using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Chatsworth, CA).

17 µl of reactants were used to complete all ISSR reactions. An initial 8.5 µl buffer solution was created using 1.85 µl of ddH₂O, 1.02 µl of 25 mM MgCl₂ (Promega, Madison, WI), 0.53 µl of an equal mixture of 2.5 mM dATP, dCTP, dGTP, and dTTP (Epicentre, Madison, WI), 1.7 µl of 10X buffer (Promega), 3.4 µl of 10X enhancer containing Betaine (Epicentre). 5.3 µl of ddH₂O, 1.0 µl of 10X BSA, 1.0 µl of primer (.00667 nmol), 1.0 µl template DNA (20-40 ng), and 1 unit of *Taq* polymerase (Promega) were added to the buffer solution to complete the reaction mixture. Primer sequences were obtained from the University of British Columbia (UBC) Biotechnology Laboratory (see Tsumura et al., 1996). All primers used in the final analyses were prepared by Life Technologies (Rockville, Maryland) based on UBC sequences. ISSR reactions were loaded in 96 well PCR plates while on ice and PCR was performed in a MJ Research (Watertown, MA) PTC-100 Thermocycler. The initial denaturation step was set to run for 1 minute at 94°C. Then 34 cycles of 45 seconds at 94°C, 30 seconds at 50°C, and 2:05 at

72°C were run. The final extension step was run for 5 minutes at 72°C. PCR products were analyzed in 1.5% agarose gels and stained in an ethidium bromide solution on an orbital shaker.

Two samples from a single population were used for initial primer screening. Band sizes were estimated using 100 bp ladder (NEB, Beverly, MA). Loci were named based on the primer used and estimated band size. Duplicate reactions were run for all ISSR analyses to determine the replicability of banding patterns.

All genetic analyses were run on both primers separately and together to determine the contribution of each to the combined genetic results.

Bands were scored based on presence or absence (See Figure 3 and Figure 4 for examples of gel photographs). Number of polymorphic loci, measures of the distribution of genetic diversity, Nei's genetic identity (h) (1973), and Shannon index of phenotypic diversity (I) (King and Schaal, 1989) were computed with PopGene32 (Yeh et al., 2000) assuming all loci were dominant and in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. An unbiased genetic identity matrix (Nei, 1978) using all populations of *A. oniciformis* and the single population of *A. mulfordae* was generated by PopGene32 and used to created a UPGMA dendrogram using NTSYSpc 2.02 (Rohlf, 1997).

Measures of population level genetic differentiation were

Figure 3. Photo of ISSR gel showing bands from DS population using UBC-818.
M is a 100 base pair ladder.





Figure 4. Photo of ISSR gel showing bands from mixed populations using UBC-818. This gel was used to confirm band sizes were the same across populations. M is a 100 base pair ladder.



determined using a hierarchical analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA; Excoffier et al., 1992) computed with Arlequin 1.1 (Schneider et al., 1997) which assumes these data are haplotypic. For the estimation of genetic distances between populations, a pairwise population F_{st} matrix was generated by Arlequin 1.1 and an unbiased genetic distance matrix (Nei, 1978) was generated by PopGene32. Geographic distances were measured based on the latitude and longitude coordinates of the populations of *A. oniciformis*. Coordinates were obtained for four populations (DS, SD, LTR, and SB) using a Magellan (San Dimas, CA) Trailblazer XL commercial global positioning system (GPS) unit. Coordinates for the other populations were converted from Township, Range and Section (TRS) data obtained from Moseley and Popovich (1995) to latitude and longitude using TRS-data (<http://WWW.esg.montana.edu/g1/trs-data.html>). Population coordinates were then imported as a point Shapefile into ArcView GIS 3.2 (Environmental Systems Research Institute, Inc., 1999) and geographic distances between populations were measured within a View. The population pairwise F_{st} matrix, Nei's unbiased genetic distance matrix, and geographic distance matrix were used in NTSYSpc 2.02 for the Mantel Tests to determine if population level genetic distances and geographic distance are correlated.

Correlation between the pairwise F_{st} and unbiased genetic

distance matrices, between the pairwise F_{st} and unbiased genetic identity matrices, and between Nei diversity (h) and Shannon diversity (I) were conducted in SYSTAT for Windows ver. 6.0 (SPSS, Inc., 1996).

Estimation bias can lead to the overestimation of parameters by as much as 5% in the dominant marker data produced by RAPD and ISSR analysis (Lynch and Milligan, 1994). To reduce this bias, Lynch and Milligan (1994) proposed pruning any locus with a band frequency of higher than $1 - (3/N)$, where N is the number of individuals sampled. This pruning procedure was implemented with the *A. oniciformis* data set prior to the genetic analyses.

Loci in Primer 818 and 841 for all populations of *A. oniciformis* and for the single population of *A. mulfordae* were tested for significant single population linkage disequilibria (Weir, 1979) and Ohta's two locus analysis of population subdivision (1982, 1982a; both tests for linkage disequilibria) using PopGene32.

PAUP* for Windows ver. 4.0 beta 6 (Swofford, 2001) was used to assess the relationships among individuals of *A. oniciformis* and *A. mulfordae* using cladistic methodologies.

RESULTS

From an initial analysis of 100 UBC ISSR primers, the presence of multiple bands was found in 27 primers. Table 3 shows the primers that produced single bands in *A. oniciformis* and Table 4 shows the primers that produced multiple bands. From the subset of primers that produced multiple bands, reactions using two individuals from two populations were run to test for band replicability. Eight primers had bands that had a high degree of replicability. These eight primers were then run with a single individual from each of four populations with replicates. Two primers were then selected for the genetic analyses, UBC-818 and UBC-841. These two primers produced multiple, clear, and replicable bands that had a degree of heterogeneity across populations (Figures 3 and 4). A table of the raw data from *A. oniciformis* and *A. mulfordae* can be found in Appendix A. A list of the primer sequences and band sizes can be found in Table 5. In *A. oniciformis*, UBC-818 yielded 28 putative loci all of which were polymorphic. UBC-841 yielded 12 putative loci all of which were polymorphic (Table 6). Locus 841-775 was eliminated from the genetic analyses due to a significant linkage disequilibrium existing between it and 841-475 (see linkage disequilibrium results below).

Table 3. Primers yielding single bands in *A. oniciformis*. Y = C or T;
 B = C, G, or T; D = A, G, or T.

Primer Name	Sequence
UBC-808	(AG) ₈ C
UBC-820	(GT) ₈ C
UBC-825	(AC) ₈ T
UBC-827	(AC) ₈ G
UBC-842	(GA) ₈ YG
UBC-849	(GT) ₈ YA
UBC-855	(AC) ₈ YT
UBC-862	(AGC) ₆
UBC-865	(CCG) ₆
UBC-888	BDB(CA)7

Table 4. Primers yielding multiple bands in *A. oniciformis*. Y = C or T; R = A or G; H = A, C, or T; V = A, C, or G.

Primer Name	Sequence
UBC-807	(AG) ₈ T
UBC-810	(AG) ₈ T
UBC-816	(CA) ₈ T
UBC-818	(CA) ₈ G
UBC-823	(TC) ₈ C
UBC-826	(AC) ₈ C
UBC-830	(TG) ₈ G
UBC-835	(AG) ₈ YC
UBC-836	(AG) ₈ YA
UBC-841	(GA) ₈ YC
UBC-847	(CA) ₈ RC
UBC-848	(CA) ₈ RG
UBC-850	(GT) ₈ YC
UBC-851	(GT) ₈ YG
UBC-856	(AC) ₈ YA
UBC-857	(AC) ₈ YG
UBC-858	(TG) ₈ RT
UBC-859	(TG) ₈ RC
UBC-860	(TG) ₈ RA
UBC-864	(ATG) ₆
UBC-866	(CTC) ₆
UBC-867	(GGC) ₆
UBC-870	(TGC) ₆
UBC-875	(CTAG) ₄
UBC-877	(TGCA) ₄
UBC-891	HVH(TG) ₇

Table 5. Primers used for ISSR analyses of *A. oniciformis* and *A. mulfordae*. Band sizes marked with an “*” indicate ones that were observed, but were eliminated from the final analyses. Band sizes marked with “<” were observed only in *A. oniciformis*. Band sizes marked with “^” were observed only in *A. mulfordae*. Y= C or T.

Primer Name	Sequence	ISSR Band Sizes (base pairs)
UBC-818	(CA) ₈ G	1800<, 1650<, 1600<, 1550<, 1500<, 1400<, 1300<, 1250<, 1200<, 1150, 1100<, 1050<, 1000<, 975<, 950, 850<, 800^, 775<, 750, 700<, 675, 650<, 625, 575, 525, 500<, 475, 450, 400, 375*, 350*, 325*, 300*, 275*, 225*, 175*, 150*
UBC-841	(GA) ₈ YC	1100 ^, 875<, 850 ^, 800 ^, 775*, 750, 725, 700<, 675<, 650<, 625, 600, 575, 550, 490 ^, 475, 460 ^, 450<, 400 ^

Table 6. Genetic diversity measures for all sampled populations of *A. oniciformis*. Total number of loci, number of polymorphic loci, mean expected heterozygosity within a randomly mating subpopulation and mean expected heterozygosity within a randomly mating population with their respective standard deviations (s.d.), mean genetic diversity among subpopulations and mean number of individuals migrating between subpopulations per generation were calculated using PopGene32 (Yeh et al., 2000)

Primer	# of loci	# of polymorphic loci	H_t	s.d.	H_s	s.d.	G_{st}	Nm
818	28	28	0.1899	0.03	0.1684	0.0226	0.1134	3.91
841	12	12	0.1234	0.011	0.1095	0.0084	0.113	3.93
818 & 841	40	40	0.17	0.025	0.1507	0.0187	0.1133	3.91

Calculated allele frequencies and gene diversity statistics for *A. oniciformis* and *A. mulfordae* can be found in Appendix B.

Twenty-three loci were present only in *A. oniciformis*, eighteen from UBC-818 (frequency = 0.0042-0.3675) and five from UBC-841 (frequency = 0.0042-0.0710).

In *Astragalus mulfordae*, UBC-818 yielded 11 putative loci all of which were polymorphic except 818-400. UBC-841 yielded 12 putative loci all of which were polymorphic. Six loci were present only in *A. mulfordae*, one from UBC-818 (frequency = 0.0339) and five from UBC-841 (frequency = 0.0339-0.2697). Locus 841-775 and 841-475 were not linked in *A. mulfordae*.


In *Astragalus oniciformis* and *A. mulfordae*, loci of band size lower than 400 were eliminated due to having an incomplete data set of these loci. Runs as long as 12 hours at 70 volts in the electrophoresis rigs are needed to resolve these bands. Many of the first gels were run for only 3 to 3.5 hours at 130 volts. The longer runs in the gel rigs were instituted so bands within 25 bp of each other would be further apart to make scoring easier.

The number of polymorphic loci within each population and their diversity indices varied depending upon whether the primers were analyzed separately or together. In a combined analysis (Table 7 and Figure 5), SD had the highest number of polymorphic loci, 28 ($h = 0.1856$, $I = 0.2895$). MB and SB were the most depauperate, with 19 and 21

Table 7. Results of single population genetic analysis of loci from sampled populations of *A. oniciformis* with ISSR primers 818 and 841 using PopGene32 (Yeh et al., 2000).

	# of polymorphic loci 40 loci total	% polymorphic loci	Nei diversity h	Shannon index I
Rift				
GR2	23	0.575	0.1464	0.2338
MB	19	0.475	0.1412	0.2182
Western				
CBS	27	0.675	0.1689	0.2693
DS	27	0.675	0.182	0.2835
LTR	23	0.575	0.1404	0.2237
SB	21	0.525	0.1189	0.1949
SC	23	0.575	0.1222	0.2011
SD	28	0.7	0.1856	0.2895

Figure 5. Results of single population genetic analysis of loci obtained from sampled populations of *A. oniciformis* with ISSR primers 818 and 841.



polymorphic loci, respectively (MB: $h = 0.1412$, $I = 0.2182$; SB: $h = 0.1189$; $I = 0.1949$). The analysis of primer 818 (Table 8 and Figure 6) showed that DS had 23 polymorphic loci ($h = 0.2339$, $I = 0.3596$) and SD had 21 ($h = 0.2043$, $I = 0.3145$). LTR had the lowest, having 14 polymorphic loci ($h = 0.1396$, $I = 0.2172$). The analysis of primer 841 (Table 9 and Figure 7) showed that CBS and LTR both had 9 polymorphic loci (CBS: $h = 0.1545$, $I = 0.2571$; LTR: $h = 0.1421$, $I = 0.239$). DS, GR2, and MB had the lowest number of polymorphic loci, 4 (DS: $h = 0.061$, $I = 0.1058$; GR2: $h = 0.0982$, $I = 0.1512$; MB: $h = 0.061$, $I = 0.1058$).

Nei diversity (h) and Shannon diversity (I) were highly correlated ($r=0.9957$, $p=0$). Unbiased Nei distance and genetic distance as estimated by pairwise F_{st} values were negatively correlated ($r=-0.7751$; $p=0$) and unbiased Nei identity and the pairwise F_{st} values were negatively correlated ($r=-0.8275$; $p=0$).

On an UPGMA dendrogram based on a Nei's (1978) unbiased genetic identity matrix, no populations with a separation of less than 25 km were grouped as most similar (unbiased genetic identity = 0.9691-0.9856). Two of the most distant populations were nearly identical, the rift population, MB, and the southwestern-most population, SD (unbiased genetic identity = 0.9902). *A. mulfordae* (SHS) was the most genetically

Table 8. Results of single population genetic analysis of loci from sampled populations of *A. oniciformis* with ISSR primer 818 using PopGene32 (Yeh et al., 2000).

	# of polymorphic loci 28 loci total	% polymorphic loci	Nei diversity h	Shannon index I
Rift				
GR2	19	0.6786	0.167	0.2692
MB	15	0.5357	0.1755	0.2663
Western				
CBS	18	0.6429	0.1751	0.2746
DS	23	0.8214	0.2339	0.3596
LTR	14	0.5	0.1396	0.2172
SB	16	0.5714	0.1267	0.2097
SC	15	0.5357	0.1247	0.2
SD	21	0.75	0.2043	0.3145

Figure 6. Results of single population genetic analysis of loci obtained from sampled populations of *A. oniciiformis* with ISSR primer 818.




Table 9. Results of single population genetic analysis of loci from sampled populations of *A. oniciformis* with ISSR primer 841 using PopGene32 (Yeh et al., 2000).

	# of polymorphic loci 12 loci total	% polymorphic loci	Nei diversity h	Shannon index I
Rift				
GR	4	0.3333	0.0982	0.1512
MB	4	0.3333	0.061	0.1058
Western				
CBS	9	0.75	0.1545	0.2571
DS	4	0.3333	0.061	0.1058
LTR	9	0.75	0.1421	0.239
SB	5	0.4167	0.1006	0.1606
SC	8	0.6667	0.1166	0.2039
SD	7	0.5833	0.1418	0.2312

Figure 7. Results of single population genetic analysis of loci obtained from sampled populations of *A. oniciiformis* with ISSR primer 841.





Figure 8. Unbiased genetic identity (Nei, 1978) UPGMA dendrogram generated with NTSYSpc (Rohlf, 1997). Population SHS is the only population of *Astragalus mulfordae* analyzed for this study; All others are sampled populations of *A. oniciformis*.



different population sampled in this study (unbiased genetic identity = 0.9544; Figure 8). An UPGMA dendrogram based on a Nei's (1978) unbiased genetic distance matrix showed the same relationships (Figure 9). A pairwise F_{st} UPGMA dendrogram was also generated with NTSYSpc (Rohlf, 1997; Figure 10).

AMOVA analyses of the combined 818 and 841 data (Table 10) found that 88.69 percent of the variation was significantly attributed to the variation within populations ($p = 0$) and that differentiation between the rift populations and the western populations was insignificant ($p = 0.97$). The results for the separate AMOVA analyses of Primers 818 and 841 have similar results (Table 11 and Table 12). These results also suggest that the rift populations and the western populations are not significantly differentiated.

The percent deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium due to population subdivision (G_{st}) and estimated gene flow between subpopulations per generation of sampled *A. oniciformis* populations (Nm) were nearly equal, whether the primers were analyzed combined or separately ($G_{st} = 0.113-0.1134$, $Nm = 3.91-3.93$; Table 6).

The G_{st} values for the combined and separate analyses, are nearly identical to the Φ_{st} values in the AMOVA analyses, between 0.112 and 0.118.

An additional AMOVA analysis was performed on the combined

Figure 9. Unbiased genetic distance (Nei, 1978) UPGMA dendrogram generated with NTSYSpc (Rohlf, 1997). Population SHS is the only population of *Astragalus mulfordae* analyzed for this study; All others are sampled populations of *A. oniciformis*.




Figure 10. Pairwise F_{st} UPGMA dendrogram generated with NTSYSpc (Rohlf, 1997). All populations are *A. oniciformis*.




Table 10. AMOVA pairwise distance results from loci sampled from populations of *A. oniciformis* using ISSR primers 818 and 841. Two groups, the rift populations (GR2 and MB) the western populations (CBS, DS, LTR, SB, SC, and SD) were tested in the genetic structure analysis. Loci analyzed with Arlequin (Schneider et al., 1997).

	d.f.	sum of squares	variance	% variation	Φ statistics	P
Between Groups	1	6.97	-0.16	-3.5	$\Phi_{ct} = -.035$	0.97
Among Populations/Groups	6	84.67	0.67	14.81	$\Phi_{sc} = 0.143$	0
Within Populations	112	450.93	4.03	88.69	$\Phi_{st} = 0.113$	0
Total	119	542.57	4.54			

Table 11. AMOVA pairwise distance results from loci sampled from populations of *A. oniciformis* using ISSR primer 818. Two groups, the rift populations (GR2 and MB) the western populations (CBS, DS, LTR, SB, SC, and SD) were tested in the genetic structure analysis. Loci analyzed with Arlequin (Schneider et al., 1997).

	d.f.	sum of squares	variance	% variation	Φ statistics	P
Between Groups	1	4.3	-0.15	-4.4	$\Phi_{ct} = -.044$	1
Among Populations/Groups	6	66.87	0.54	15.56	$\Phi_{sc} = 0.149$	0
Within Populations	112	344.13	3.07	88.84	$\Phi_{st} = 0.112$	0
Total	119	415.3	3.46			

Table 12. AMOVA pairwise distance results from loci sampled from populations of *A. oniciformis* using ISSR primer 841. Two groups, the rift populations (GR2 and MB) the western populations (CBS, DS, LTR, SB, SC, and SD) were tested in the genetic structure analysis. Loci analyzed with Arlequin (Schneider et al., 1997).

	d.f.	sum of squares	variance	% variation	Φ statistics	P
Between Groups	1	2.67	-0.007	-0.62	$\Phi_{ct} = -.006$	0.51
Among Populations/Groups	6	17.8	0.13	12.41	$\Phi_{sc} = 0.123$	0
Within Populations	112	106.8	0.95	88.2	$\Phi_{st} = 0.118$	0
Total	119	127.27	1.08			

A. oniciformis data and *A. mulfordae* data, testing whether a significant amount of variation was explained by groups of all *A. oniciformis* populations and grouping the single *A. mulfordae* population (Table 13).

A weakly significant ($p = 0.1$) 17.34 percent of the variation was explained by this grouping.

UPGMA dendrogram of the unbiased genetic identity (Nei, 1978; Table 14 and Figure 8) showed that populations MB and SD were nearly identical (unbiased genetic identity = 0.9925). This result is significant since MB and SD are 67 km apart, the only further distant populations are between the rift populations, GR and MB, and CBS (82 and 80 km, respectively), and neither are paired as similar.

A Mantel Test using the geographic distance matrix and Nei's (1978) unbiased genetic distance matrix found that they weakly correlated ($t = -0.34809$; $p = 0.0780$; Table 15 and Figure 11). Another Mantel Test using the geographic distance matrix and pairwise F_{st} genetic distance matrix found that they are not significantly correlated ($t = -0.27905$; $p = 0.1135$; Table 16 and Figure 12). Genetic distances among populations of *A. oniciformis* are perhaps weakly correlated with respect to geographic distance.

Significant linkage disequilibria was found to occur between locus 841-775 and locus 841-475 (Table 17 and Table 18). Weir's single population linkage disequilibria test found that most of the populations had

Table 13. AMOVA pairwise distance results of analyses of loci from ISSR primers 818 and 841 using Arlequin (Schneider et al., 1997). Two groups, all sampled *A. oniciformis* populations and the single population of *A. mulfordiae* (SHS) were tested in the genetic structure analysis.

	d.f.	sum of squares	variance	% variation	Φ statistics	P
Between Groups	1	40.381	1.007	17.34	$\Phi_{ct} = 0.17$	0.1
Among Populations/Groups	7	94.7	0.62	10.73	$\Phi_{sc} = 0.13$	0
Within Populations	126	526.4	4.18	71.93	$\Phi_{st} = 0.28$	0
Total	134	661.481	5.81			

Table 14. Unbiased genetic identity (Nei, 1978) and geographic distance. Geographic distance (km) is below the diagonal. Unbiased genetic identity is above. Genetic identity was estimated with PopGene32 (Yeh et al., 2000) by calculating the unbiased genetic identity of all loci from ISSR primers 818 and 841 from all *A. oniciformis* populations.

	CBS	DS	GR2	LTR	MB	SB	SC	SD
CBS		0.9879	0.9813	0.9717	0.9839	0.9704	0.9796	0.9798
DS	37.23		0.9823	0.9712	0.9902	0.9739	0.9771	0.985
GR2	82.76	65.95		0.9903	0.9824	0.9793	0.984	0.9774
LTR	58.5	41.16	25.74		0.9856	0.9691	0.9812	0.9843
MB	80.23	65.53	5.18	24.67		0.9751	0.9872	0.9925
SB	52.22	33.78	33.14	8.09	35.46		0.9882	0.9626
SC	44.16	8.54	59.11	35.59	67.11	27.63		0.9764
SD	16.45	39.82	70.25	47.31	67.03	42.69	44.5	

Table 15. Unbiased genetic distance (Nei, 1978) and geographic distance. Geographic distance (km) is below the diagonal. Unbiased genetic distance is above. Genetic distance was estimated with PopGene32 (Yeh et al., 2000) by calculating the unbiased genetic distance of all loci from ISSR primers 818 and 841 from all *A. oniciformis* populations.

	CBS	DS	GR2	LTR	MB	SB	SC	SD
CBS		0.0121	0.0189	0.0287	0.0163	0.0301	0.0206	0.0204
DS	37.23		0.0178	0.0292	0.0098	0.0265	0.0232	0.0151
GR2	82.76	65.95		0.0097	0.0178	0.0209	0.0161	0.0229
LTR	58.5	41.16	25.74		0.0151	0.0314	0.019	0.0158
MB	80.23	65.53	5.18	24.67		0.0252	0.0129	0.0075
SB	52.22	33.78	33.14	8.09	35.46		0.0118	0.0381
SC	44.16	8.54	59.11	35.59	67.11	27.63		0.0239
SD	16.45	39.82	70.25	47.31	67.03	42.69	44.5	

Figure 11. Scatterplot of geographic distance versus unbiased genetic distance (Nei, 1978) generated with NTSYSpc (Rohlf, 1997) for *A. oniciformis*.

Matrix correlation: $r = -0.34809$;

Approximate Mantel t-test: $t = -1.4188$;

Probability of random Z value < observed Z value: $p = 0.0780$




Table 16. Genetic distance and geographic distance. Geographic distance (km) is below the diagonal. Genetic distance is above. Genetic distance was estimated with Arlequin (Schneider et al., 1997) by calculating the pairwise F_{st} of all loci from ISSR primers 818 and 841 from all *A. oniciformis* populations.

	CBS	DS	GR2	LTR	MB	SB	SC	SD
CBS		0.08682	0.1017	0.13766	0.12203	0.20061	0.14923	0.13707
DS	37.23		0.0834	0.1446	0.07577	0.19075	0.17371	0.11578
GR2	82.76	65.95		0.06654	0.11125	0.14174	0.09332	0.14228
LTR	58.5	41.16	25.74		0.07406	0.14376	0.06988	0.0877
MB	80.23	65.53	5.18	24.67		0.20712	0.12071	0.07044
SB	52.22	33.78	33.14	8.09	35.46		0.11419	0.24877
SC	44.16	8.54	59.11	35.59	67.11	27.63		0.17466
SD	16.45	39.82	70.25	47.31	67.03	42.69	44.5	

Figure 12. Scatterplot of geographic distance versus pairwise F_{st} values generated with NTSYSpc (Rohlf, 1997) for *A. oniciformis*.

Matrix correlation: $r = -0.27905$;

Approximate Mantel t-test: $t = -1.2082$;

Probability of random Z value < observed Z value: $p = 0.1135$




Table 17. Single population linkage disequilibria (Weir, 1979). Loci are from analysis of *A. oniciformis* with ISSR primer 841 using PopGene32 (Yeh et al., 2000).

Pop.	Locus 1 [Allele]	Locus 2 [Allele]	LD	Correlation	Lewontin	Chi-square	P
CBS	775 [0]	475 [1]	0.12	0.6124	0.75	5.62	0.018
CBS	775 [0]	475 [0]	-0.12	-0.6124	-24	5.63	0.018
CBS	775 [1]	475 [1]	-0.12	-0.6124	-0.04	5.62	0.018
CBS	775 [1]	475 [0]	0.12	0.6124	0.75	5.63	0.018
DS	775 [0]	475 [1]	0.24	1	1	15	0.001
DS	775 [0]	475 [0]	-0.24	-1	-0.16	15	0.001
DS	775 [1]	475 [1]	-0.24	-1	-0.36	15	0.001
DS	775 [1]	475 [0]	0.24	1	1	15	0.001
GR2	775 [0]	475 [1]	0.22	1	1	15	0.001
GR2	775 [0]	475 [0]	-0.22	-1	-0.11	15	0.001
GR2	775 [1]	475 [1]	-0.22	-1	-0.44	15	0.001
GR2	775 [1]	475 [0]	0.22	1	1	15	0.001
LTR	775 [0]	475 [1]	0.16	1	1	15	0.001
LTR	775 [0]	475 [0]	-0.16	-1	-0.04	15	0.001
LTR	775 [1]	475 [1]	-0.16	-1	-0.64	15	0.001
LTR	775 [1]	475 [0]	0.16	1	1	15	0.001
MB	775 [0]	475 [1]	0.24	1	1	15	0.001
MB	775 [0]	475 [0]	-0.24	-1	-0.16	15	0.001
MB	775 [1]	475 [1]	-0.24	-1	-0.36	15	0.001
MB	775 [1]	475 [0]	0.24	1	1	15	0.001
SB	775 [0]	475 [1]	0.06	1	1	15	0.001
SB	775 [0]	475 [0]	-0.06	-1	-0.004	15	0.001
SB	775 [1]	475 [1]	-0.06	-1	-0.87	15	0.001
SB	775 [1]	475 [0]	0.06	1	1	15	0.001
SC	775 [0]	475 [1]	0.25	1	1	15	0.001
SC	775 [0]	475 [0]	-0.25	-1	-0.22	15	0.001
SC	775 [1]	475 [1]	-0.25	-1	-0.28	15	0.001
SC	775 [1]	475 [0]	0.25	1	1	15	0.001
SD	775 [0]	475 [1]	0.16	1	1	15	0.001
SD	775 [0]	475 [0]	-0.16	-1	-0.04	15	0.001
SD	775 [1]	475 [1]	-0.16	-1	-0.64	15	0.001
SD	775 [1]	475 [0]	0.16	1	1	15	0.001

Table 18. Ohta's two-locus analysis of population subdivision (1982, 1982a) to test for significant linkage disequilibrium among multiple populations. Loci are from an analysis of *A. oniciformis* with ISSR primer 841 using PopGene32 (Yeh et al., 2000).

Locus A	Locus B	$(DIT)^2$	$(DIS)^2$	$(D'IS)^2$	$(DST)^2$	$(D'ST)^2$
775	475	0.257	0.148	0.073	0.087	0.183

a significant linkage disequilibria between these two loci (corr. = 1; Chi-square test, p=0.001). CBS still had significant linkage disequilibria between these two loci, but it was not as strong as in the other populations (corr.=0.6123; Chi-square test, p=0.018). Ohta's two-locus analysis of population subdivision (1982, 1982a) showed significant linkage between these two loci($\{D'ST\}^2 = 0.183$). Based on these results, locus 841-775 was deleted from all genetic analyses.

For a locus to be pruned according to Lynch and Milligan (1994) in the *A. oniciformis* ISSR data (N=120), the frequency of the band had to be 0.975 or higher. Since no locus was present at a frequency of higher than 0.88, the Lynch and Mulligan pruning procedure was not implemented.

In PAUP*, an initial heuristic search of the combined 818 and 841 data sets of both *A. oniciformis* and *A. mulfordae* of 100 random addition sequences with TBR branch swapping stopped during the first addition sequence after the tree buffer filled to capacity. A set of 10,000 most parsimonious trees of length 397 were recovered. To find if shorter trees existed, another heuristic search of 10,000 random addition sequences was performed. Only 25 trees of length 397 or longer were held at each step. The analysis was stopped at step 5229 when trees no shorter than 394 were found. A set of 86,959 most parsimonious trees of length 394 were recovered (RI = 0.5956, CI excl. uninf. = 0.1010). Figure 13 is a strict consensus of the length 394 trees.

Figure 13. Strict Consensus of 86,959 most parsimonious trees of length 394.





Figure 13. Continued.



DISCUSSION

The nearly identical Φ_{st} values from the AMOVA analysis and the G_{st} values from the PopGene32 analysis is evidence that potential problems arising from the violation of certain assumptions of the software did not occur. ISSR studies generally use the same software algorithms and methodologies used in this study, but very few test their ISSR data to determine the extent to which the potential violations bias their results. The analyses used in PopGene32 assumes that all data are in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. Since no codominant ISSR locus was found and codominant data do not exists for *A. oniciformis*, the assumption that the data meets Hardy-Weinberg expectations could not be tested. The AMOVA procedure in Arlequin assumes the data are codominant and haplotypic. ISSR data could cause bias in parameter estimation since ISSR markers are dominant and not haplotypic. In addition to the identical Φ_{st} values and G_{st} values, the topology of the UPGMA dendograms of the pairwise Fst and Nei's unbiased genetic distance were nearly identical, differing only in branch lengths and the grouping of the SB and SC populations (Figure 8 and 10). The significant correlation between the pairwise Fst values (calculated by NTSYSpc) and the Nei's unbiased genetic distance values (calculated by

PopGene32) is also encouraging evidence. The two different ISSR primers produced, in separate and combined analyses, nearly identical results. These results were verified in the AMOVA analyses. In addition, parameter estimation bias can be reduced by the Lynch and Milligan test, and since no bands in these data were present at a high enough frequency to be pruned, bias in the *A. oniciformis* data is low. The data from populations of *A. oniciformis* demonstrate the robustness of ISSR markers.

Studies of other species of *Astragalus* using genetic methods such as isozymes, AFLPs, or RAPDs have yielded similar results as ISSR markers have in *A. oniciformis* and *A. mulfordae*. In an isozyme study among populations of various species of annual *Astragalus*, Liston (1992) found that Nei's genetic identity did not fall below 0.961. The tight range of genetic identities 0.97-0.99 found in *A. oniciformis* with ISSR markers is on the high end of the range of values reported by Liston (1992).

It can be difficult to compare the ISSR results obtained in this study with similar studies utilizing other methodologies. Karron et al. (1988) compared the genetic structure of widespread species of *Astragalus* with endemic species having narrow distributions using allozymes. Levels of polymorphism were assayed based on 25 individuals from three populations of each of four species. Twelve loci were sampled in each population. Two (17%) were polymorphic in *A. osterhouti*, a species with four known

populations in Colorado. The highest number of polymorphic loci, four (33%), was found in *A. linifolius*, a species restricted to three populations in Colorado, and *A. pectinatus*, a widespread species. *A. pattersoni* had an intermediate level of polymorphic loci, three (25%). In contrast, the single population of *A. mulfordae* had 96% polymorphic loci, and all loci were polymorphic in *A. oniciformis*. Since allozymes and ISSRs produce different levels of polymorphic loci (Esselman et al., 1999), a direct comparison between *A. oniciformis* and the species studied by Karron et al. (1988) is difficult.

The genetic identity of 0.95 between *A. mulfordae* and *A. oniciformis* is not unusual. Liston (1992) found genetic identities between several annual species of *Astragalus* ranged from 0.404 to 0.937. A genetic identity of 0.937 was found between *A. breweri*, a species native to serpentine outcrops in the Coast Range of California and *A. tener* var. *titi*, which is found in a single population on the Monterey Peninsula of California (Liston, 1992). Like *A. mulfordae* and *A. oniciformis*, these two annual species are not sympatric. The genetic identity obtained in this study between *A. mulfordae* and *A. oniciformis* is likely to be inaccurate, since only one population was sampled. A more thorough sampling of populations of *A. mulfordae* will potentially provide additional loci in *A. mulfordae* that are currently only present in *A. oniciformis*, as well as additional loci unique to *A. mulfordae*.

The cladistic analysis of the *A. mulfordae* and *A. oniciformis* data, resulted in a highly unresolved strict consensus tree. In addition, most individuals of *A. mulfordae* were unresolved in a large polytomy with a majority of the *A. oniciformis* individuals. Only one band clearly differentiated *A. mulfordae* from *A. oniciformis*. Band 818-400 was the only band in this study that was not polymorphic in *A. mulfordae* and it was present at an average, low frequency of 0.227 in *A. oniciformis*. The low level of differentiation contributed to the lack of resolution in the cladistic analysis and the relatively high genetic identity between the species.

Geologic features and habitat restrictions have been documented as instrumental in increasing population differentiation in species with limited distributions (Travis et al., 1996). In *Astragalus cremnophylax*, a species native to Kaibab Limestone outcrops on the North Rim and South Rim of the Grand Canyon, genetic differentiation overall among the populations is high, θ (an equivalent of F_{st}) = 0.44, (Travis et al., 1996) compared to *A. oniciformis* $Gst=0.113$. Gene flow (Nm) is limited for *A. cremnophylax*, between 0.2 and 0.4 migrants per generation. Gene flow has been proposed only to occur through pollinators, since geographic barriers (The Grand Canyon) and habitat barriers (16 km of dense vegetation) prevent seed dispersal. The population sizes of *A. cremnophylax* ranged from 2 to 970 individuals, which makes this species extremely vulnerable to

fluctuations in climate and habitat disturbance (Travis et al., 1996). *A. oniciformis* has a much wider, continuous distribution, over 80 km, larger population sizes 10 to >10,000 individuals, and higher estimates of gene flow ($Nm = 3.91$ to 3.93). The lack of genetic differentiation among populations, especially when compared to *A. cremnophylax*, is also evidence that a very high gene flow exists throughout the range of this species.

A wide range of G_{st} and F_{st} values have been obtained in studies of species of *Astragalus* (Table 19). Liston (1992) found that within annual species, G_{st} values ranged from 0 to 0.725. The highest values were found in *A. pauperculus* (0.775) and *A. clarianus* (0.331), two species with narrow distributions in cismontane California. The widespread species had G_{st} values between 0 and 0.254. The highest F_{st} values in Karron et al. (1988) was found in *A. osterhouti* ($F_{st} = 0.14$), which in 1988 had a total of 1500 individuals restricted to three populations. Comparatively, *A. pectinatus* ($F_{st} = 0.02$ and 0.05) and *A. pattersoni* ($F_{st} = 0.01$) are widespread species with lower levels of genetic differentiation than observed in this study (Karron et al., 1988). A $\theta = 0.44$, found in *A. cremnophylax* (Travis et al., 1996), is additional support that in *Astragalus*, genetic differentiation and possibly speciation can occur when population size decreases, gene flow decreases and genetic differentiation among populations increases in endemic species

Table 19. Mean population *Fsts* and populations sizes from studies of species of *Astragalus*.

Species	Mean Population Differentiation	Population Size	Distribution	Citation
<i>breweri</i>	0 (<i>Gst</i>)	10-700	narrow	Liston, 1992; Liston, 1990
<i>tener</i> var. <i>titi</i>	0 (<i>Gst</i>)	< 25	narrow	Liston, 1992; Liston, 1990
<i>rattanii</i> var. <i>jepsonianus</i>	0.053 (<i>Gst</i>)	25-50	narrow	Liston, 1992; Liston, 1990
<i>linifolius</i>	0.055 (<i>Fst</i>)	3,000	narrow	Karron et al., 1988
<i>tener</i> var. <i>tener</i>	0.059 (<i>Gst</i>)	50-250	narrow	Liston, 1992; Liston, 1990
<i>rattanii</i> var. <i>rattanii</i>	0.068 (<i>Gst</i>)	100-1000	narrow	Liston, 1992; Liston, personal communication, 2001
<i>oniciformis</i>	0.113 (<i>Gst</i>)	100-10,000+	narrow	Moseley & Popovich, 1995
<i>osterhouti</i>	0.14 (<i>Fst</i>)	1,500	narrow	Karron et al., 1988
<i>clarianus</i>	0.331 (<i>Gst</i>)	< 100	narrow	Liston, 1992; Liston, 1990
<i>cremnophylax</i>	0.44 (<i>Fst</i>)	3-970	narrow	Travis et al., 1996
<i>pauperculus</i>	0.725 (<i>Gst</i>)	25	narrow	Liston, 1992; Liston, 1990
<i>nothoxys</i>	0 (<i>Gst</i>)	?	widespread	Liston, 1992; Liston, 1990
<i>nuttallianus</i>	0 (<i>Gst</i>)	?	widespread	Liston, 1992; Liston, 1990
<i>nyensis</i>	0 (<i>Gst</i>)	?	widespread	Liston, 1992; Liston, 1990
<i>pattersoni</i>	0.01 (<i>Fst</i>)	?	widespread	Karron et al., 1988
<i>pectinatus</i>	0.035 (<i>Fst</i>)	?	widespread	Karron et al., 1988
<i>gambelianus</i>	0.211 (<i>Gst</i>)	?	widespread	Liston, 1992; Liston, 1990
<i>acutirostris</i>	0.254 (<i>Gst</i>)	?	widespread	Liston, 1992; Liston, 1990

with narrow distributions. Although *Astragalus oniciformis* has a relatively narrow distribution, its large population sizes, numerous occurrences, and high gene flow among populations has resulted in a low potential for genetic differentiation.

A number of factors about the characteristics of the sampled populations could have had an impact on the genetic analyses performed in this study.

The type locality, located near the eastern city limits of Picabo, was not sampled due to the depauperate condition of the populations. SC was the nearest population to the type locality of sufficient size to be sampled. The observed habitat fragmentation at the type locality is likely to have some effect on the genetic differentiation of that population. SC was located in a small undisturbed patch of *Artemisia* between several large private farms. Even though habitat fragmentation in part does not seem to have affected this species genetically (see discussion of populations MB and GR2 below), habitat fragmentation and low population size has the potential to significantly affect the levels of genetic differentiation among populations (Travis et al., 1996). If decreases population sizes and habitat fragmentation continues to occur within the northern range of this species, mainly in the populations around Picabo and Silver Creek, the combination of the two may lead to genetic differentiation among these populations and populations

throughout the range of the species.

One historical population (SD) was relocated shortly before the collection survey for this study, and because it represents the southernmost known population of this species, it was sampled. This population is the only one in this study that has not been adequately investigated.

The rift populations, GR2 and MB, located within a 5 mile radius of Mule Butte, was sampled from the four disjunct eastern populations of this species, separated from all other populations by the eight mile wide, inhospitable Minidoka Flow (Moseley and Popovich, 1995). The lack of genetic differentiation between these two populations and the western populations provides evidence that in *A. oniciformis*, either the rift populations are the result of 2 or more recent dispersal events or 3600 years of separation has not caused significant genetic differentiation between the rift and western populations. Two or more dispersal events are possible since MB and GR have different levels of polymorphic loci, are not grouped as being similar in the UPGMA dendograms, and have a genetic identity of 0.9824, a value in the middle of the range for this species. Gene flow across this inhospitable boundary has not been completely ruled out since the life histories of the pollinators of *A. oniciformis* have not been studied (Popovich, personal communication, 1999).

The lack of genetic differentiation among populations and the

high level of gene flow within the range of *A. oniciformis* indicates that current threats to this species, plant community changes due to changing fire patterns, habitat alteration due to livestock grazing, and habitat loss due to agricultural development (Moseley and Popovich, 1995) have not effected the genetic diversity of this species. Genetic differentiation has not occurred despite these disturbances because of the high gene flow and the numerous, large populations characteristic of *A. oniciformis*. In addition, the seed bank for *A. oniciformis* can be potentially large (Pyke, personal communication, 2001), so if genetic differentiation were to occur, it could be several generations before genetic drift is detectable. Conserving the numerous, large populations throughout the range of this species would be one strategy that would help preserve the high gene flow among populations.

The populations located near Picabo (see Figure 1) near the type locality and the populations along Silver Creek should not be selected as a seed source for habitat restoration or enhancement projects for other populations throughout the range of *A. oniciformis*. The low levels of polymorphism, low population sizes, and the higher potential for future genetic differentiation make these populations poor candidates. Populations within the continuous central and western range of this species are the best candidates for restoration and enhancement efforts.

LITERATURE CITED

- Arcade, A., F. Anselin, P. Faivre Rampant, M. C. Lesage, L. E. Paques, and D. Prat. 2000. Application of AFLP, RAPD, and ISSR markers to genetic mapping of European and Japanese larch. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 100: 299-307.
- Barneby, R. C. 1964. Atlas of North American *Astragalus*. Memoirs of the New York Botanical Garden. vol. 13. The New York Botanical Garden, Bronx, New York.
- Charters, Y. M., and M. J. Wilkinson. 2000. The use of self-pollinated progenies as 'in-groups' for the genetic characterization of cocoa germplasm. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 100: 160-166.
- de Oliveira, A. C., T. Richter, and J. L. Bennetzen. 1996. Regional and racial specificities in sorghum germplasm assessed with DNA markers. Genome 39: 579-587.
- Esselman, E. J., L. Jianqiang, D. J. Crawford, J. L. Windus, and A. D. Wolfe. 1999. Clonal diversity in the rare *Calamagrostis porteri* ssp. *insperata* (Poaceae): comparative results for allozymes and random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) and intersimple sequence repeat (ISSR) markers. Molecular Ecology 8: 443-451.
- Excoffier, L., P. E. Smouse, and J. M. Quattro. 1992. Analysis of molecular variance inferred from metric distance among DNA haplotypes: application to human mitochondrial DNA restriction data. Genetics 131: 479-491.

- Fang, D. Q., M. L. Roose, R. R. Krueger, and C. T. Federici. 1997. Fingerprinting trifoliate orange germ plasm accession with isozymes, RFLPs, and inter-simple sequence repeat markers. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 95: 211-219.
- Gilbert, J. E., R. V. Lewis, M. J. Wilkinson, and P. D. S. Caligari. 1999. Developing an appropriate strategy to assess genetic variability in plant germplasm collections. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 98: 1125-1131.
- Gupta, M., Y. -S. Chyi, J. Romero-Severson, and J. L. Owen. 1994. Amplification of DNA markers from evolutionary diverse genomes using single primers of simple sequence repeats. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 89: 998-1006.
- Hollingsworth, P. M., M. Tebbitt, K. J. Watson, and R. J. Gornall. 1998. Conservation genetics of an arctic species, *Saxifraga rivularis* L., in Britain. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society. 128: 1-14.
- Huang, J. C., and M. Sun. 2000. Genetic diversity and relationships of sweetpotato and its wild relatives in *Ipomoea* series *Batatas* (Convolvulaceae) as revealed by inter-simple sequence repeat (ISSR) and restriction site analysis of chloroplast DNA. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 100: 1050-1060.
- Jain, A., C. Apparanda, and P.L. Bhalla. 1999. Evaluation of genetic diversity and genome fingerprinting of *Pandorea* (Bignoniaceae) by RAPD and inter-SSR PCR. Genome 42: 714-719.
- Karron, J. D., Y. B. Linhart, C. A. Chaulk, and C. A. Robertson. 1988. Genetic structure of populations of geographically restricted and widespread species of *Astragalus* (Fabaceae). American Journal of Botany 75(8): 1114-1119.

- King L. M., and B. A. Schaal. 1989. Ribosomal-DNA variation and distribution in *Rudbeckia missouriensis*. Evolution 43(5): 1117-1119.
- King, R.A., and C. Ferris. 2000. Chloroplast DNA and nuclear DNA variation in the sympatric alder species *Alnus cordata* (Lois.) Duby and *A. glutinosa* (L.) Gaertn. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 70: 147-160.
- Kojima, T., T. Nagaoka, K. Noda, and Y. Ogihara. 1998. Genetic linkage map of ISSR and RAPD markers in Einkorn wheat in relation to that of RFLP markers. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 96: 37-45.
- Lanham, P. G., and R. M. Brennan. 1999. Genetic characterization of gooseberry (*Ribes grossularis* subgenus *Grossularia*) germplasm using RAPD, ISSR and AFLP markers. Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology 74: 361-366.
- Liston, A. 1990. An evolutionary study of *Astragalus* sect. *Leptocarpi* subsect. *Californici* (Fabaceae). Ph.D. dissertation, Claremont Graduate School, Claremont, California.
- Liston, A. 1992. Isozyme systematics of *Astragalus* sect. *Leptocarpi* subsect. *Californici* (Fabaceae). Systematic Botany 17(3): 367-379.
- Lynch, M., and B.G. Milligan. 1994. Analysis of population genetic structure with RAPD markers. Molecular Ecology 3: 91-99
- Maunder, M., A. Culham, A. Bordeu, J. Allainguillaume, and M. Wilkinson. 1999. Genetic diversity and pedigree for *Sophora toromiro* (Leguminosae): a tree extinct in the wild. Molecular Ecology 8: 725-738.

- Moseley, R. K., and S. J. Popovich. 1995. The conservation status of Picabo milkvetch (*Astragalus oniciformis* Barneby). Conservation Data Center, Idaho Department of Fish and Game, and Shoshone District, Bureau of Land Management. 21 pp. plus appendices.
- Nagaoka T., and Y. Ogihara. 1997. Applicability of inter-simple sequence repeat polymorphisms in wheat for use as DNA markers in comparison to RFLP and RAPD markers. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 94: 597-602.
- Nei, M. 1973. Analysis of gene diversity in subdivided populations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 70: 3321-3323.
- Nei, M. 1978. Estimation of average heterozygosity and genetic distance from a small number of individuals. Genetics 89: 583-590.
- Ohta, T. 1982. Linkage disequilibrium due to random genetic drift in finite subdivided populations. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 79: 1940-1944.
- Ohta, T. 1982a. Linkage disequilibrium with the island model. Genetics 101: 139-155.
- Rohlf, F. J. 1997. NTSYSpc: numerical taxonomy and multivariate analysis system, ver. 2.02. Exeter Software, Setauket, New York.
- Schneider, S., J. M. Kueffer, D. Roessli, and L. Excoffier. 1997. Arlequin: a software for population genetic data analysis. ver. 1.1. Genetics and Biometry Lab, Dept. of Anthropology, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland.
- SPSS, Inc., 1996. SYSTAT for Windows. ver. 6.0. Chicago, Illinois.

- Swofford, D. L. 2001. PAUP*: phylogenetic analysis using parsimony. ver. 4 beta 6. Sinauer Associates, Inc., Sunderland, Massachusetts.
- Travis, S. E., J. Maschinski, and P. Keim. 1996. An analysis of genetic variation in *Astragalus cremnophylax* var. *cremnophylax*, a critically endangered plant, using AFLP markers. Molecular Ecology 5: 735-745.
- Tsumura, Y., K. Ohba, and S. H. Strauss. 1996. Diversity and inheritance of inter-simple sequence repeat polymorphisms in Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*) and sugi (*Cryptomeria japonica*). Theoretical and Applied Genetics 92: 40-45.
- Weir, B. S. 1979. Inferences about linkage disequilibrium. Biometrics 35: 235-254.
- Wolfe, A. D., and A. Liston. 1998. Contributions of PCR-based methods to plant systematics and evolutionary biology. Pp. 43-86 in Molecular Systematics of Plants: DNA Sequencing. eds. P.S. Soltis, D.E. Soltis, and J.J. Doyle., 2nd ed. Kluwer, New York.
- Wolff, K., and M. Morgan-Richards. 1998. PCR markers distinguish *Plantago major* subspecies. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 96: 282-286.
- Yeh, F. C., R. Yang, T. J. Boyle, Z. Ye, and J. M. Xiyan. 2000. PopGene32, Microsoft Windows-based freeware for population genetic analysis. ver. 1.32. Molecular Biology and Biotechnology Centre, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada.

Appendices

Appendix A

Table of Raw Data for *A. oniciformis* and *A. mulfordae*

NAME	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	BB	CC
CBS1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
CBS10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
CBS11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	
CBS12	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	
CBS13	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	
CBS14	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	
CBS15	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	
CBS2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
CBS3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CBS4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	
CBS5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	
CBS6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	
CBS7	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
CBS8	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	
CBS9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	
DS1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	
DS10	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
DS11	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	
DS12	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	
DS13	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	
DS14	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	
DS15	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	
DS2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	
DS3	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	
DS4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	
DS5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	
DS6	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	
DS7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	
DS8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
DS9	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	
GR1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	
GR10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	
GR11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	
GR12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	
GR13	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
GR14	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	
GR15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	
GR2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
GR3	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	
GR4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	
GR5	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	
GR6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
GR7	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	
GR8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
GR9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
LTR1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	
LTR10	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	
LTR11	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	

NAME	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W	X	Y	Z	AA	BB	CC
SC15	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
SC2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
SC3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
SC4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
SC5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
SC6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SC7	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
SC8	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
SC9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SD1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
SD10	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
SD11	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SD12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
SD13	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
SD14	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
SD15	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
SD2	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
SD3	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
SD4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
SD5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SD6	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
SD7	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SD8	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
SD9	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
SHS1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0
SHS10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
SHS11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0
SHS12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
SHS13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
SHS14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
SHS15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
SHS2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
SHS3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1
SHS4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
SHS5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
SHS6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
SHS7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
SHS8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1
SHS9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1

818 Loci

A	818-1800
B	818-1650
C	818-1600
D	818-1550
E	818-1500
F	818-1400
G	818-1300
H	818-1250
I	818-1200
J	818-1150
K	818-1100
L	818-1050
M	818-1000
N	818-975
O	818-950
P	818-850
Q	818-800
R	818-775
S	818-750
T	818-700
U	818-675
V	818-650
W	818-625
X	818-575
Y	818-525
Z	818-500
AA	818-475
BB	818-450
CC	818-400

NAME	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
LTR12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
LTR13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
LTR14	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	
LTR15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
LTR2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	
LTR3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
LTR4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
LTR5	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	
LTR6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
LTR7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
LTR8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
LTR9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MB1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MB10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
MB11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
MB12	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	
MB13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MB14	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
MB15	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MB16	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
MB2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MB3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
MB4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MB5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MB6	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	
MB8	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
MB9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
SB1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
SB10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
SB11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SB12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SB13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SB14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	
SB15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	
SB2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	
SB3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	
SB4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	
SB5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	
SB6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	
SB7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	
SB8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SB9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SC1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	
SC10	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
SC11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SC12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SC13	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
SC14	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	

NAME	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S
SC15	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
SC2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	
SC3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
SC4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
SC5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	
SC6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SC7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SC8	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
SC9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
SD1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
SD10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SD11	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SD12	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
SD13	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
SD14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
SD15	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SD2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
SD3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	
SD4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SD5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
SD6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SD7	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SD8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SD9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SHS1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
SHS10	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
SHS11	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	
SHS12	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	
SHS13	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
SHS14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	
SHS15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
SHS2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	
SHS3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	
SHS4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
SHS5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	
SHS6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
SHS7	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
SHS8	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	
SHS9	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

{

841 Loci

A	841-1100
B	841-875
C	841-850
D	841-800
E	841-750
F	841-725
G	841-700
H	841-675
I	841-650
J	841-625
K	841-600
L	841-575
M	841-550
N	841-490
O	841-475
P	841-460
Q	841-450
R	841-400

Appendix B

Allele Frequencies and Genetic Diversity Measures for *A. oniciformis* and *A. mulfordae*

Population Genetic Analysis of *Astragalus oniciformis*

Date : 2001/3/3
Time : 9:44:55

Data Description : PRIMER 818, 841; ASTONI: All Populations [excluding 841-775]; JAA Mod: 3-3-2001

```
*****
**          Single-Population Descriptive Statistics      **
**          ****
*****
```

Population ID :	1					
Population name :	CBS					
Gene Frequency :						
=====						
Allele	818-1800 818-1650 818-1600 818-1550 818-1500 818-1400 818-1300 818-1250					
Allele 0	0.9661 1.0000 0.3651 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.9309 1.0000					
Allele 1	0.0339 0.6349 0.0691 0.0691					
=====						
Allele	818-1200 818-1150 818-1100 818-1050 818-1000 818-975 818-950 818-850					
Allele 0	1.0000 0.6831 0.8944 0.8944 0.9309 1.0000 1.0000 0.9309					
Allele 1	0.3169 0.1056 0.1056 0.0691 0.0691					
=====						
Allele	818-775 818-750 818-700 818-675 818-650 818-625 818-575 818-525					
Allele 0	0.8944 0.8165 0.9661 0.5164 0.8944 0.5774 0.6325 0.8944					
Allele 1	0.1056 0.1835 0.0339 0.4836 0.1056 0.4226 0.3675 0.1056					
=====						
Allele	818-500 818-475 818-450 818-400 841-875 841-750 841-725 841-700					
Allele 0	1.0000 0.4472 1.0000 0.8165 0.9661 0.8944 0.8165 1.0000					
Allele 1	0.5528 0.1835 0.0339 0.1056 0.1835					
=====						
Allele	841-675 841-650 841-625 841-600 841-575 841-550 841-475 841-450					
Allele 0	0.9661 0.8165 0.9661 0.9309 0.8563 0.4472 1.0000					
Allele 1	0.0339 0.1835 1.0000 0.0339 0.0691 0.1437 0.5528					
=====						
Summary Statistics :						

**						
**	Summary of Genic Variation Statistics for All Loci					
**	[See Nei (1987) Molecular Evolutionary Genetics (p. 176-187)]					
**						

Locus	Sample Size	na*	ne*	h*	I*	
818-1800	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481	
818-1650	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
818-1600	15	2.0000	1.8644	0.4636	0.6563	
818-1550	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
818-1500	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
818-1400	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
818-1300	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512	
818-1250	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
818-1200	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
818-1150	15	2.0000	1.7634	0.4329	0.6245	
818-1100	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372	
818-1050	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372	
818-1000	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512	
818-975	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
818-950	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
818-850	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512	
818-775	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372	
818-750	15	2.0000	1.4279	0.2997	0.4767	
818-700	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481	
818-675	15	2.0000	1.9979	0.4995	0.6926	
818-650	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372	
818-625	15	2.0000	1.9533	0.4880	0.6811	
818-575	15	2.0000	1.8688	0.4649	0.6576	
818-525	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372	
818-500	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
818-475	15	2.0000	1.9780	0.4944	0.6876	
818-450	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
818-400	15	2.0000	1.4279	0.2997	0.4767	
841-875	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481	
841-750	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372	
841-725	15	2.0000	1.4279	0.2997	0.4767	

(CBS)

841-700	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-675	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
841-650	15	2.0000	1.4279	0.2997	0.4767
841-625	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-600	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
841-575	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
841-550	15	2.0000	1.3263	0.2460	0.4115
841-475	15	2.0000	1.9780	0.4944	0.6876
841-450	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Mean 15 1.6750 1.2695 0.1689 0.2693
 St. Dev 0.4743 0.3310 0.1736 0.2461

=====

* na = Observed number of alleles
 * ne = Effective number of alleles [Kimura and Crow (1964)]
 * h = Nei's (1973) gene diversity
 * I = Shannon's Information index [Lewontin (1972)]

The number of polymorphic loci is : 27
 The percentage of polymorphic loci is : 67.50 %

Population ID : 2
 Population name : DS

Gene Frequency :

								Allele \ 818-1800	818-
1650	818-1600	818-1550	818-1500	818-1400	818-1300	818-1250			
Allele 0	0.9661	1.0000	0.5164	1.0000	0.9309	1.0000	0.6325	0.9309	
Allele 1	0.0339		0.4836		0.0691		0.3675	0.0691	

=====

								Allele \ 818-1200	818-1150	818-1100	818-1050	818-1000	818-975	818-950	818-850
Allele 0	0.8944	0.4472	0.5774	0.8563	0.9661	0.9661	0.7746	0.9309							
Allele 1	0.1056	0.5528	0.4226	0.1437	0.0339	0.0339	0.2254	0.0691							

=====

								Allele \ 818-775	818-750	818-700	818-675	818-650	818-625	818-575	818-525
Allele 0	0.9309	0.8165	0.8563	0.5774	1.0000	0.6325	0.6831	1.0000							
Allele 1	0.0691	0.1835	0.1437	0.4226		0.3675	0.3169								

=====

								Allele \ 818-500	818-475	818-450	818-400	841-875	841-750	841-725	841-700
Allele 0	0.9661	0.4472	0.9309	0.5774	1.0000	1.0000	0.9309	1.0000							
Allele 1	0.0339	0.5528	0.0691	0.4226		0.0691									

=====

								Allele \ 841-675	841-650	841-625	841-600	841-575	841-550	841-475	841-450
Allele 0	1.0000	0.9661		0.8944	1.0000	1.0000	0.7746	1.0000							
Allele 1	0.0339	1.0000	0.1056			0.2254									

=====

Summary Statistics :

** Summary of Genic Variation Statistics for All Loci **
 ** [See Nei (1987) Molecular Evolutionary Genetics (p. 176-187)] **
 **

								Locus	Sample Size	na*	ne*	h*	I*
818-1800	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481								
818-1650	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000								
818-1600	15	2.0000	1.9979	0.4995	0.6926								
818-1550	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000								
818-1500	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512								
818-1400	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000								
818-1300	15	2.0000	1.8688	0.4649	0.6576								
818-1250	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512								
818-1200	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372								
818-1150	15	2.0000	1.9780	0.4944	0.6876								
818-1100	15	2.0000	1.9533	0.4880	0.6811								
818-1050	15	2.0000	1.3263	0.2460	0.4115								
818-1000	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481								
818-975	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481								
818-950	15	2.0000	1.5366	0.3492	0.5337								
818-850	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512								
818-775	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512								
818-750	15	2.0000	1.4279	0.2997	0.4767								
818-700	15	2.0000	1.3263	0.2460	0.4115								
818-675	15	2.0000	1.9533	0.4880	0.6811								
818-650	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000								
818-625	15	2.0000	1.8688	0.4649	0.6576								
818-575	15	2.0000	1.7634	0.4329	0.6245								
818-525	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000								
818-500	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481								
818-475	15	2.0000	1.9780	0.4944	0.6876								

(DS)

818-450	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
818-400	15	2.0000	1.9533	0.4880	0.6811
841-875	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-750	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-725	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
841-700	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-675	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-650	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
841-625	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-600	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372
841-575	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-550	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-475	15	2.0000	1.5366	0.3492	0.5337
841-450	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Mean	15	1.6750	1.3042	0.1820	0.2835
St. Dev		0.4743	0.3673	0.1890	0.2635

* na = Observed number of alleles
* ne = Effective number of alleles [Kimura and Crow (1964)]
* h = Nei's (1973) gene diversity
* I = Shannon's Information index [Lewontin (1972)]

The number of polymorphic loci is : 27
The percentage of polymorphic loci is : 67.50 %

Population ID : 3
Population name : GR2

Gene Frequency :

Allele \ 818-1800	818-1650	818-1600	818-1550	818-1500	818-1400	818-1300	818-1250
Allele 0	1.0000	1.0000	0.7303	1.0000	1.0000	0.8944	1.0000
Allele 1			0.2697			0.1056	
Allele \ 818-1200	818-1150	818-1100	818-1050	818-1000	818-975	818-950	818-850
Allele 0	0.8944	0.6325	0.9309	0.9661	0.9661	0.9309	0.8165
Allele 1	0.1056	0.3675	0.0691	0.0339	0.0339	0.0691	0.1835
Allele \ 818-775	818-750	818-700	818-675	818-650	818-625	818-575	818-525
Allele 0	0.8944	0.8165	1.0000	0.2582	1.0000	0.3651	0.8563
Allele 1	0.1056	0.1835		0.7418		0.6349	0.1437
Allele \ 818-500	818-475	818-450	818-400	841-875	841-750	841-725	841-700
Allele 0	0.9661	0.5774	0.8165	0.8944	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Allele 1	0.0339	0.4226	0.1835	0.1056			
Allele \ 841-675	841-650	841-625	841-600	841-575	841-550	841-475	841-450
Allele 0	1.0000	1.0000	0.3651	0.7746	1.0000	1.0000	0.8165
Allele 1			0.6349	0.2254			0.1835

Summary Statistics :

**	Summary of Genic Variation Statistics for All Loci	**
**	[See Nei (1987) Molecular Evolutionary Genetics (p. 176-187)]	**
**		**

Locus	Sample Size	na*	ne*	h*	I*
818-1800	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1650	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1600	15	2.0000	1.6500	0.3939	0.5830
818-1550	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1500	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1400	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1300	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372
818-1250	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1200	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372
818-1150	15	2.0000	1.8688	0.4649	0.6576
818-1100	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
818-1050	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
818-1000	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
818-975	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
818-950	15	2.0000	1.4279	0.2997	0.4767
818-850	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-775	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372
818-750	15	2.0000	1.4279	0.2997	0.4767
818-700	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-675	15	2.0000	1.6209	0.3831	0.5712
818-650	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000

(GR2)

	15	2.0000	1.8644	0.4636	0.6563
818-625	15	2.0000	1.3263	0.2460	0.4115
818-525	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
818-500	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
818-475	15	2.0000	1.9533	0.4880	0.6811
818-450	15	2.0000	1.4279	0.2997	0.4767
818-400	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372
841-875	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-750	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-725	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-700	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-675	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-650	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-625	15	2.0000	1.8644	0.4636	0.6563
841-600	15	2.0000	1.5366	0.3492	0.5337
841-575	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-550	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-475	15	2.0000	1.4279	0.2997	0.4767
841-450	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
Mean	15	1.5750	1.2263	0.1464	0.2338
St. Dev		0.5006	0.2929	0.1664	0.2445

* na = Observed number of alleles
* ne = Effective number of alleles [Kimura and Crow (1964)]
* h = Nei's (1973) gene diversity
* I = Shannon's Information index [Lewontin (1972)]

The number of polymorphic loci is : 23
The percentage of polymorphic loci is : 57.50 %

Population ID : 4
Population name : LTR

Gene Frequency :

	Allele \ 818-1800	818-1650	818-1600	818-1550	818-1500	818-1400	818-1300	818-1250
Allele 0	1.0000	1.0000	0.6831	1.0000	1.0000	0.9661	0.9309	1.0000
Allele 1			0.3169			0.0339	0.0691	

	Allele \ 818-1200	818-1150	818-1100	818-1050	818-1000	818-975	818-950	818-850
Allele 0	0.9309	0.5774	1.0000	0.9309	1.0000	1.0000	0.8165	0.9309
Allele 1	0.0691	0.4226		0.0691			0.1835	0.0691

	Allele \ 818-775	818-750	818-700	818-675	818-650	818-625	818-575	818-525
Allele 0	0.5164	0.9309	1.0000		1.0000	0.6325	0.8944	1.0000
Allele 1	0.4836	0.0691		1.0000		0.3675	0.1056	

	Allele \ 818-500	818-475	818-450	818-400	841-875	841-750	841-725	841-700
Allele 0	1.0000	0.7303	1.0000	0.6831	1.0000	1.0000	0.9661	0.9309
Allele 1	0.2697			0.3169			0.0339	0.0691

	Allele \ 841-675	841-650	841-625	841-600	841-575	841-550	841-475	841-450
Allele 0	1.0000	0.9661	0.2582	0.7746	0.9661	0.7303	0.8944	0.9661
Allele 1		0.0339	0.7418	0.2254	0.0339	0.2697	0.1056	0.0339

Summary Statistics :

Locus	Sample Size	na*	ne*	h*	I*
818-1800	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1650	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1600	15	2.0000	1.7634	0.4329	0.6245
818-1550	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1500	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1400	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
818-1300	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
818-1250	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1200	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
818-1150	15	2.0000	1.9533	0.4880	0.6811
818-1100	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1050	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
818-1000	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-975	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-950	15	2.0000	1.4279	0.2997	0.4767
818-850	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
818-775	15	2.0000	1.9979	0.4995	0.6926

(LTR)

818-750	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
818-700	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-675	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-650	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-625	15	2.0000	1.8688	0.4649	0.6576
818-575	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372
818-525	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-500	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-475	15	2.0000	1.6500	0.3939	0.5830
818-450	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-400	15	2.0000	1.7634	0.4329	0.6245
841-875	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-750	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-725	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
841-700	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
841-675	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-650	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
841-625	15	2.0000	1.6209	0.3831	0.5712
841-600	15	2.0000	1.5366	0.3492	0.5337
841-575	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
841-550	15	2.0000	1.6500	0.3939	0.5830
841-475	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372
841-450	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481

Mean 15 1.5750 1.2233 0.1404 0.2237
 St. Dev 0.5006 0.3119 0.1718 0.2474

* na = Observed number of alleles
 * ne = Effective number of alleles [Kimura and Crow (1964)]
 * h = Nei's (1973) gene diversity
 * I = Shannon's Information index [Lewontin (1972)]
 The number of polymorphic loci is : 23
 The percentage of polymorphic loci is : 57.50 %

Population ID : 5
 Population name : MB

Gene Frequency :

Allele \	818-1800	818-1650	818-1600	818-1550	818-1500	818-1400	818-1300	818-1250
Allele 0	1.0000	1.0000	0.5774	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.5164	1.0000
Allele 1			0.4226				0.4836	

Allele \	818-1200	818-1150	818-1100	818-1050	818-1000	818-975	818-950	818-850
Allele 0	0.8944	0.7746	0.9309	0.7746	1.0000	1.0000	0.8563	0.8944
Allele 1	0.1056	0.2254	0.0691	0.2254			0.1437	0.1056

Allele \	818-775	818-750	818-700	818-675	818-650	818-625	818-575	818-525
Allele 0	0.6831	0.9309	1.0000	0.4472	1.0000	0.7303	0.9309	1.0000
Allele 1	0.3169	0.0691		0.5528		0.2697	0.0691	

Allele \	818-500	818-475	818-450	818-400	841-875	841-750	841-725	841-700
Allele 0	1.0000	0.6831	1.0000	0.6325	1.0000	0.9309	1.0000	1.0000
Allele 1		0.3169		0.3675		0.0691		

Allele \	841-675	841-650	841-625	841-600	841-575	841-550	841-475	841-450
Allele 0	1.0000	1.0000		1.0000	0.8944	0.9661	0.7746	1.0000
Allele 1				1.0000	0.1056	0.0339	0.2254	

Summary Statistics :

 **
 ** Summary of Genic Variation Statistics for All Loci **
 ** [See Nei (1987) Molecular Evolutionary Genetics (p. 176-187)] **
 **

Locus	Sample Size	na*	ne*	h*	I*
818-1800	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1650	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1600	15	2.0000	1.9533	0.4880	0.6811
818-1550	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1500	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1400	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1300	15	2.0000	1.9979	0.4995	0.6926
818-1250	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1200	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372
818-1150	15	2.0000	1.5366	0.3492	0.5337
818-1100	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512

(MB)

	15	2.0000	1.5366	0.3492	0.5337
818-1050	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1000	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-975	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-950	15	2.0000	1.3263	0.2460	0.4115
818-850	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372
818-775	15	2.0000	1.7634	0.4329	0.6245
818-750	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
818-700	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-675	15	2.0000	1.9780	0.4944	0.6876
818-650	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-625	15	2.0000	1.6500	0.3939	0.5830
818-575	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
818-525	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-500	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-475	15	2.0000	1.7634	0.4329	0.6245
818-450	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-400	15	2.0000	1.8688	0.4649	0.6576
841-875	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-750	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
841-725	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-700	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-675	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-650	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-625	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-600	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-575	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372
841-550	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
841-475	15	2.0000	1.5366	0.3492	0.5337
841-450	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Mean 15 1.4750 1.2317 0.1412 0.2182
 St. Dev 0.5057 0.3313 0.1815 0.2633

=====

* na = Observed number of alleles
 * ne = Effective number of alleles [Kimura and Crow (1964)]
 * h = Nei's (1973) gene diversity
 * I = Shannon's Information index [Lewontin (1972)]

The number of polymorphic loci is : 19
 The percentage of polymorphic loci is : 47.50 %

Population ID : 6
 Population name : SB

Gene Frequency :

=====

Allele \ 818-1800	818-1650	818-1600	818-1550	818-1500	818-1400	818-1300	818-1250
Allele 0 1.0000	1.0000	0.9661	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	0.9309
Allele 1		0.0339					0.0691

=====

Allele \ 818-1200	818-1150	818-1100	818-1050	818-1000	818-975	818-950	818-850
Allele 0 1.0000	0.7303	0.9661	0.9309	1.0000	1.0000	0.7746	0.8944
Allele 1	0.2697	0.0339	0.0691			0.2254	0.1056

=====

Allele \ 818-775	818-750	818-700	818-675	818-650	818-625	818-575	818-525
Allele 0 0.8563	0.8165	0.8563	0.9309	1.0000	0.7746	0.8165	1.0000
Allele 1	0.1437	0.1835	0.1437	0.0691	0.2254	0.1835	

=====

Allele \ 818-500	818-475	818-450	818-400	841-875	841-750	841-725	841-700
Allele 0 1.0000	0.6325	0.9661	0.9309	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
Allele 1	0.3675	0.0339	0.0691				

=====

Allele \ 841-675	841-650	841-625	841-600	841-575	841-550	841-475	841-450
Allele 0 1.0000	1.0000	0.2582	0.8165	0.9661	0.7303	0.9661	1.0000
Allele 1		0.7418	0.1835	0.0339	0.2697	0.0339	

=====

Summary Statistics :

 **
 ** Summary of Genic Variation Statistics for All Loci **
 ** [See Nei (1987) Molecular Evolutionary Genetics (p. 176-187)] **
 **

=====

Locus	Sample Size	na*	ne*	h*	I*
818-1800	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1650	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	
818-1600	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481

(SC)

Locus	Sample Size	na*	ne*	h*	I*
818-1800	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
818-1650	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1600	15	2.0000	1.5366	0.3492	0.5337
818-1550	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1500	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1400	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1300	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
818-1250	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1200	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1150	15	2.0000	1.7634	0.4329	0.6245
818-1100	15	2.0000	1.3263	0.2460	0.4115
818-1050	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1000	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-975	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-950	15	2.0000	1.3263	0.2460	0.4115
818-850	15	2.0000	1.3263	0.2460	0.4115
818-775	15	2.0000	1.8688	0.4649	0.6576
818-750	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-700	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
818-675	15	2.0000	1.7634	0.4329	0.6245
818-650	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-625	15	2.0000	1.9533	0.4880	0.6811
818-575	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
818-525	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-500	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-475	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
818-450	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
818-400	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
841-875	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-750	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
841-725	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372
841-700	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
841-675	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-650	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-625	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-600	15	2.0000	1.4279	0.2997	0.4767
841-575	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
841-550	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
841-475	15	2.0000	1.6500	0.3939	0.5830
841-450	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512

Mean 15 1.5750 1.1851 0.1222 0.2011
 St. Dev 0.5006 0.2712 0.1543 0.2265

* na = Observed number of alleles

* ne = Effective number of alleles [Kimura and Crow (1964)]

* h = Nei's (1973) gene diversity

* I = Shannon's Information index [Lewontin (1972)]

The number of polymorphic loci is : 23

The percentage of polymorphic loci is : 57.50 %

Population ID : 8
 Population name : SD

Gene Frequency :

Allele \ 818-1800 818-1650 818-1600 818-1550 818-1500 818-1400 818-1300 818-1250								
Allele	0	0.9661	0.4472	0.9661	0.9309	1.0000	0.5774	1.0000
Allele	1	0.0339	0.0339	0.5528	0.0339	0.0691	0.4226	
Allele \	818-1200	818-1150	818-1100	818-1050	818-1000	818-975	818-950	818-850
Allele	0	0.8944	0.4472	1.0000	0.6325	0.8944	0.9661	0.8563
Allele	1	0.1056	0.5528	0.3675	0.1056	0.0339	0.0339	0.1437
Allele \	818-775	818-750	818-700	818-675	818-650	818-625	818-575	818-525
Allele	0	0.5774	1.0000	0.9661	0.3651	1.0000	0.6831	0.9309
Allele	1	0.4226	0.0339	0.6349	0.3169	0.3169	0.0691	
Allele \	818-500	818-475	818-450	818-400	841-875	841-750	841-725	841-700
Allele	0	1.0000	0.5164	0.8944	0.6831	1.0000	1.0000	0.8165
Allele	1	0.4836	0.1056	0.3169			0.1835	
Allele \	841-675	841-650	841-625	841-600	841-575	841-550	841-475	841-450
Allele	0	1.0000	0.6831	0.7303	0.9309	0.9309	0.8944	0.9309
Allele	1	0.3169	1.0000	0.2697	0.0691	0.0691	0.1056	0.0691

(SD)
Summary Statistics :

Locus	Sample Size	na*	ne*	h*	I*
818-1800	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
818-1650	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
818-1600	15	2.0000	1.9780	0.4944	0.6876
818-1550	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
818-1500	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
818-1400	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1300	15	2.0000	1.9533	0.4880	0.6811
818-1250	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1200	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372
818-1150	15	2.0000	1.9780	0.4944	0.6876
818-1100	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1050	15	2.0000	1.8688	0.4649	0.6576
818-1000	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372
818-975	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
818-950	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
818-850	15	2.0000	1.3263	0.2460	0.4115
818-775	15	2.0000	1.9533	0.4880	0.6811
818-750	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-700	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
818-675	15	2.0000	1.8644	0.4636	0.6563
818-650	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-625	15	2.0000	1.7634	0.4329	0.6245
818-575	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
818-525	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-500	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-475	15	2.0000	1.9979	0.4995	0.6926
818-450	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372
818-400	15	2.0000	1.7634	0.4329	0.6245
841-875	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-750	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-725	15	2.0000	1.4279	0.2997	0.4767
841-700	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-675	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-650	15	2.0000	1.7634	0.4329	0.6245
841-625	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-600	15	2.0000	1.6500	0.3939	0.5830
841-575	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
841-550	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
841-475	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372
841-450	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
Mean	15	1.7000	1.3094	0.1856	0.2895
St. Dev		0.4641	0.3656	0.1886	0.2617

```
=====  
* na = Observed number of alleles  
* ne = Effective number of alleles [Kimura and Crow (1964)]  
* h = Nei's (1973) gene diversity  
* I = Shannon's Information index [Lewontin (1972)]
```

The number of polymorphic loci is : 28
The percentage of polymorphic loci is : 70.00 %

** Multi-Populations Descriptive Statistics **
**
**

Overall Gene Frequency

Allele \	818-1800	818-1650	818-1600	818-1550	818-1500	818-1400	818-1300	818-1250
Allele 0	0.9830	0.9958	0.6325	0.9958	0.9827	0.9958	0.8017	0.9827
Allele 1	0.0170	0.0042	0.3675	0.0042	0.0173	0.0042	0.1983	0.0173
<hr/>								
Allele \	818-1200	818-1150	818-1100	818-1050	818-1000	818-975	818-950	818-850
Allele 0	0.9386	0.6219	0.8945	0.8732	0.9697	0.9829	0.8576	0.9118
Allele 1	0.0614	0.3781	0.1055	0.1268	0.0303	0.0171	0.1424	0.0882
<hr/>								
Allele \	818-775	818-750	818-700	818-675	818-650	818-625	818-575	818-525
Allele 0	0.7482	0.8910	0.9514	0.4723	0.9868	0.6216	0.8345	0.9782
Allele 1	0.2518	0.1090	0.0486	0.5277	0.0132	0.3784	0.1655	0.0218

```

=====
Allele \ 818-500 818-475 818-450 818-400 841-875 841-750 841-725 841-700
=====
Allele 0 0.9915 0.6250 0.9468 0.7730 0.9958 0.9739 0.9281 0.9871
Allele 1 0.0085 0.3750 0.0532 0.2270 0.0042 0.0261 0.0719 0.0129
=====
Allele \ 841-675 841-650 841-625 841-600 841-575 841-550 841-475 841-450
=====
Allele 0 0.9958 0.9290 0.1102 0.8466 0.9524 0.8931 0.7873 0.9743
Allele 1 0.0042 0.0710 0.8898 0.1534 0.0476 0.1069 0.2127 0.0257
=====

Summary Statistics :

*****
**          Summary of Genic Variation Statistics for All Loci      **
**          [See Nei (1987) Molecular Evolutionary Genetics (p. 176-187)]  **
**          *****

=====
Locus     Sample Size na*    ne*    h*    I*
=====
818-1800  120   2.0000  1.0345  0.0333  0.0859
818-1650  120   2.0000  1.0085  0.0084  0.0274
818-1600  120   2.0000  1.8687  0.4649  0.6576
818-1550  120   2.0000  1.0085  0.0084  0.0274
818-1500  120   2.0000  1.0351  0.0339  0.0872
818-1400  120   2.0000  1.0085  0.0084  0.0274
818-1300  120   2.0000  1.4662  0.3180  0.4981
818-1250  120   2.0000  1.0351  0.0339  0.0872
818-1200  120   2.0000  1.1303  0.1153  0.2309
818-1150  120   2.0000  1.8878  0.4703  0.6631
818-1100  120   2.0000  1.2326  0.1887  0.3370
818-1050  120   2.0000  1.2844  0.2214  0.3802
818-1000  120   2.0000  1.0624  0.0588  0.1358
818-975   120   2.0000  1.0348  0.0336  0.0866
818-950   120   2.0000  1.3231  0.2442  0.4093
818-850   120   2.0000  1.1917  0.1608  0.2984
818-775   120   2.0000  1.6046  0.3768  0.5643
818-750   120   2.0000  1.2411  0.1943  0.3444
818-700   120   2.0000  1.1020  0.0925  0.1945
818-675   120   2.0000  1.9939  0.4985  0.6916
818-650   120   2.0000  1.0267  0.0260  0.0702
818-625   120   2.0000  1.8883  0.4704  0.6633
818-575   120   2.0000  1.3817  0.2763  0.4487
818-525   120   2.0000  1.0446  0.0427  0.1051
818-500   120   2.0000  1.0171  0.0168  0.0489
818-475   120   2.0000  1.8823  0.4687  0.6616
818-450   120   2.0000  1.1121  0.1008  0.2080
818-400   120   2.0000  1.5407  0.3509  0.5356
841-875   120   2.0000  1.0085  0.0084  0.0274
841-750   120   2.0000  1.0535  0.0508  0.1208
841-725   120   2.0000  1.1541  0.1335  0.2586
841-700   120   2.0000  1.0261  0.0254  0.0688
841-675   120   2.0000  1.0085  0.0084  0.0274
841-650   120   2.0000  1.1520  0.1320  0.2563
841-625   120   2.0000  1.2439  0.1961  0.3469
841-600   120   2.0000  1.3508  0.2597  0.4285
841-575   120   2.0000  1.0996  0.0906  0.1913
841-550   120   2.0000  1.2360  0.1909  0.3399
841-475   120   2.0000  1.5037  0.3350  0.5176
841-450   120   2.0000  1.0528  0.0502  0.1196

Mean      120   2.0000  1.2584  0.1700  0.2820
St. Dev   0.0000  0.2948  0.1576  0.2167
=====

* na = Observed number of alleles
* ne = Effective number of alleles [Kimura and Crow (1964)]
* h = Nei's (1973) gene diversity
* I = Shannon's Information index [Lewontin (1972)]

*****
**          Nei's Analysis of Gene Diversity in Subdivided Populations      **
**          [See Nei (1987) Molecular Evolutionary Genetics (p. 187-192)]  **
**          *****

=====
Locus     Sample Size Ht      Hs      Gst     Nm*
=====
818-1800  120   0.0333  0.0328  0.0172  28.4914
818-1650  120   0.0084  0.0082  0.0298  16.2808
818-1600  120   0.4649  0.3984  0.1430  2.9962
818-1550  120   0.0084  0.0082  0.0298  16.2808
818-1500  120   0.0339  0.0321  0.0527  8.9881
818-1400  120   0.0084  0.0082  0.0298  16.2808
818-1300  120   0.3180  0.2534  0.2032  1.9610
818-1250  120   0.0339  0.0321  0.0527  8.9881
818-1200  120   0.1153  0.1105  0.0416  11.5262
818-1150  120   0.4703  0.4438  0.0562  8.3989
=====
```

818-1100	120	0.1887	0.1557	0.1750	2.3576
818-1050	120	0.2214	0.1965	0.1127	3.9373
818-1000	120	0.0588	0.0561	0.0462	10.3178
818-975	120	0.0336	0.0324	0.0351	13.7326
818-950	120	0.2442	0.2319	0.0504	9.4255
818-850	120	0.1608	0.1569	0.0243	20.0777
818-775	120	0.3768	0.3297	0.1250	3.4998
818-750	120	0.1943	0.1820	0.0633	7.4037
818-700	120	0.0925	0.0861	0.0697	6.6719
818-675	120	0.4985	0.3613	0.2752	1.3165
818-650	120	0.0260	0.0236	0.0936	4.8412
818-625	120	0.4704	0.4432	0.0579	8.1374
818-575	120	0.2763	0.2523	0.0869	5.2535
818-525	120	0.0427	0.0397	0.0708	6.5572
818-500	120	0.0168	0.0164	0.0256	18.9943
818-475	120	0.4687	0.4167	0.1110	4.0039
818-450	120	0.1008	0.0935	0.0724	6.4033
818-400	120	0.3509	0.3127	0.1090	4.0858
841-875	120	0.0084	0.0082	0.0298	16.2808
841-750	120	0.0508	0.0479	0.0573	8.2333
841-725	120	0.1335	0.1228	0.0805	5.7102
841-700	120	0.0254	0.0243	0.0452	10.5647
841-675	120	0.0084	0.0082	0.0298	16.2808
841-650	120	0.1320	0.1080	0.1819	2.2484
841-625	120	0.1961	0.1537	0.2161	1.8135
841-600	120	0.2597	0.2433	0.0633	7.3931
841-575	120	0.0906	0.0882	0.0266	18.2838
841-550	120	0.1909	0.1696	0.1118	3.9707
841-475	120	0.3350	0.2912	0.1306	3.3280
841-450	120	0.0502	0.0485	0.0326	14.8489
Mean	120	0.1700	0.1507	0.1133	3.9124
St. Dev		0.0248	0.0187		

=====

* Nm = estimate of gene flow from Gst or Gcs. E.g., Nm = 0.5(1 - Gst)/Gst;
 See McDermott and McDonald, Ann. Rev. Phytopathol. 31:353-373 (1993).
 The number of polymorphic loci is : 40
 The percentage of polymorphic loci is : 100.00

Population Genetic Analysis of *Astragalus mulfordae*

Population ID : 1
 Population name : SHS
 Gene Frequency :

```
=====
Allele \ 818-1800 818-1650 818-1600 818-1550 818-1500 818-1400 818-1300 818-1250
=====
Allele 0 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000
Allele 1
=====
Allele \ 818-1200 818-1150 818-1100 818-1050 818-1000 818-975 818-950 818-850
=====
Allele 0 1.0000 0.9661 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.9309 1.0000
Allele 1 0.0339
=====
Allele \ 818-800 818-775 818-750 818-700 818-675 818-650 818-625 818-575
=====
Allele 0 0.9661 1.0000 0.8563 1.0000 0.9661 1.0000 0.8944 0.5774
Allele 1 0.0339 0.1437 0.0339 0.0339 0.1056 0.4226
=====
Allele \ 818-525 818-500 818-475 818-450 818-400 841-1100 841-875 841-850
=====
Allele 0 0.6325 1.0000 0.5164 0.8944 0.9661 1.0000 0.8944
Allele 1 0.3675 0.4836 0.1056 1.0000 0.0339 0.1056
=====
Allele \ 841-800 841-750 841-725 841-700 841-675 841-650 841-625 841-600
=====
Allele 0 0.8563 0.8944 0.9309 1.0000 1.0000 1.0000 0.2582 0.8563
Allele 1 0.1437 0.1056 0.0691 0.7418 0.1437
=====
Allele \ 841-575 841-550 841-490 841-475 841-460 841-450 841-400
=====
Allele 0 0.8563 0.9661 0.7303 0.7746 0.9661 1.0000 0.8944
Allele 1 0.1437 0.0339 0.2697 0.2254 0.0339 0.1056
=====
```

Summary Statistics :

```
*****
**          Summary of Genic Variation Statistics for All Loci      **
**          [See Nei (1987) Molecular Evolutionary Genetics (p. 176-187)]  **
**          *****
```

Locus	Sample Size	na*	ne*	h*	I*
818-1800	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1650	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1600	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1550	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1500	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1400	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1300	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1250	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1200	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1150	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
818-1100	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1050	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-1000	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-975	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-950	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
818-850	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-800	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
818-775	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-750	15	2.0000	1.3263	0.2460	0.4115
818-700	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-675	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
818-650	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-625	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372
818-575	15	2.0000	1.9533	0.4880	0.6811
818-525	15	2.0000	1.8688	0.4649	0.6576
818-500	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
818-475	15	2.0000	1.9979	0.4995	0.6926
818-450	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372
818-400	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-1100	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
841-875	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-850	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372
841-800	15	2.0000	1.3263	0.2460	0.4115
841-750	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372
841-725	15	2.0000	1.1475	0.1286	0.2512
841-700	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-675	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-650	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-625	15	2.0000	1.6209	0.3831	0.5712
841-600	15	2.0000	1.3263	0.2460	0.4115
841-575	15	2.0000	1.3263	0.2460	0.4115
841-550	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481

841-490	15	2.0000	1.6500	0.3939	0.5830
841-475	15	2.0000	1.5366	0.3492	0.5337
841-460	15	2.0000	1.0701	0.0655	0.1481
841-450	15	1.0000	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000
841-400	15	2.0000	1.2328	0.1889	0.3372
Mean	15	1.4894	1.1662	0.1097	0.1796
St. Dev		0.5053	0.2648	0.1504	0.2243

=====

* na = Observed number of alleles
* ne = Effective number of alleles [Kimura and Crow (1964)]
* h = Nei's (1973) gene diversity
* I = Shannon's Information index [Lewontin (1972)]
The number of polymorphic loci is : 23
The percentage of polymorphic loci is : 48.94 %
